

# Where Are the Dead Right Now?

**A Scriptural Search for the Truth About Death, the Grave, and the Hope of the Resurrection**

Copyright 2026

Distribution of this study as is in its entirety is permitted & encouraged.

Author: John Lobota

Research Assistant: Claude Cowork

[papertiq@bellsouth.net](mailto:papertiq@bellsouth.net)

# **A Scriptural Search for the Truth About Death, the Grave, and the Hope of the Resurrection**

Copyright 2026

Distribution of this study as is in its entirety is permitted & encouraged.

[papertiq@bellsouth.net](mailto:papertiq@bellsouth.net) Research Assistant: Claude Cowork

---

# Table of Contents

---

|                                                                                                                                                                     |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Introduction.....</b>                                                                                                                                            | <b>3</b>  |
| A word to the grieving reader, the confusion among Christians and beyond, and why this study matters (1 Corinthians 15:16-24).                                      |           |
| <b>Chapter 1: What Is Man, and What Death Actually Is.....</b>                                                                                                      | <b>8</b>  |
| Body, soul, and spirit from Genesis 2:7, and what actually died the day Adam sinned.                                                                                |           |
| <b>Chapter 2: Sheol, Hades, and the Sleep of Death.....</b>                                                                                                         | <b>12</b> |
| Sheol, Hades, Qeber, and Mnēmeion traced verse by verse, and what the clear scriptures say happens at death.                                                        |           |
| <b>Chapter 3: The Resurrection, the Lord's Return, and Where Everyone Ends Up.....</b>                                                                              | <b>17</b> |
| The out-resurrection, the parousia's two phases, the two resurrections, where the saints actually dwell, and Gehenna through the Lake of Fire.                      |           |
| <b>Chapter 4: The Hardest Verses, Read in Context.....</b>                                                                                                          | <b>26</b> |
| "To die is gain," the Transfiguration, Enoch, the rich man and Lazarus, the thief on the cross, and two final puzzles, read against everything already established. |           |
| <b>Chapter 5: Spiritism, Mediums, and Familiar Spirits.....</b>                                                                                                     | <b>34</b> |
| Where the power behind mediums and séances actually comes from, and how scripture says to test it.                                                                  |           |
| <b>Chapter 6: Everlasting Life as a Gift.....</b>                                                                                                                   | <b>36</b> |
| Immortality as a gift, not a natural possession: how to receive it, live with this hope, and use it to witness.                                                     |           |
| <b>Sources Consulted.....</b>                                                                                                                                       | <b>40</b> |
| The background and corroborating sources consulted throughout this study, cited but never treated as an authority.                                                  |           |
| <b>A Passage Held in Abeyance: Matthew 27:51-53.....</b>                                                                                                            | <b>41</b> |
| A single hard passage named honestly and held open rather than forced to fit.                                                                                       |           |
| <b>Scripture Index.....</b>                                                                                                                                         | <b>42</b> |
| Every scripture quoted in full across this study, in canonical order, with the chapter and section where it appears.                                                |           |

## Introduction

---

### A Word Before We Begin

If you are reading this, chances are good that death has already visited someone you love — a parent, a spouse, a child, a friend who used to sit across the table from you. Maybe it happened recently enough that the grief still catches you off guard in ordinary moments. Maybe it was years ago and you have simply learned to carry it. Either way, you are not coming to this subject as a stranger to it, and neither am I. This is not a study written from a safe distance, handed down from someone who has never stood at a graveside wondering exactly where the person they loved actually is right now, at this hour, while we are still here. I am walking the same road you are. We are all going to face this — our own death, or

the death of someone we cannot imagine living without — and so this study is offered the way one traveler offers directions to another on the same path, not the way a lecturer addresses a room.

That matters, because this subject gets talked about badly. It gets turned into a debate people win or lose, a doctrinal flex, a line drawn between "us" and "them." That is not what death deserves, and it is not what the people we have lost deserve. So before anything else: whatever grief brought you to a subject like this, it is real, it is allowed, and nothing in the pages ahead is meant to talk you out of it or past it. The goal here is simply to find out, as carefully and honestly as we can, what God's own word actually says — because whatever the answer turns out to be, the truth is kinder to lean on than a guess.

## A House Divided: The Confusion Among Christians

Here is something worth sitting with for a moment: ask a room full of sincere, Bible-believing Christians what happens the instant a believer dies, and you will not get one answer. You will get several — confidently held, sincerely felt, and mutually exclusive. That alone should tell us something. On a question this important, this close to the heart, the church has not spoken with one voice, and it has not spoken with one voice for a very long time.

The most common answer today, across a wide swath of denominations, is immediate: the moment a believer's last breath leaves, they are ushered directly into heaven, fully conscious, reunited with loved ones who died before them, in the presence of God — and that is where they remain forever. It is the answer offered at most modern funerals, the assumption behind most modern condolence cards, and — we should be honest about this up front, because it is exactly the kind of claim this study exists to test rather than assume — a position we will come back to and weigh directly against scripture later in this study, rather than taking for granted here.

But that has not always been the church's only answer, and it is worth knowing why.

In the earliest centuries of the church, there was no single settled position. Writers in what's often called the Alexandrian school of thought — thinking of figures whose ideas eventually fed into what became the Roman Catholic doctrine of purgatory — described the soul undergoing a gradual purification after death, not an immediate, finished arrival in God's presence. Augustine of Hippo, writing in the late fourth and early fifth centuries, was pivotal here: he argued that certain sins could still be dealt with after death, and that the prayers of the living could help the dead in that process. That idea, developed and formalized over the following centuries, became the Catholic doctrine of purgatory — an intermediate, purifying state between death and heaven, distinct from either. Other early voices — Gregory of Nazianzus and Gregory the Great among them — took a different route, teaching that the souls of the righteous went immediately into heaven at death, no intermediate stage required. Both positions, in other words, claimed to be reading the same book.

The Protestant Reformation didn't resolve this; if anything, it revealed how unsettled the question still was even among people who agreed on almost everything else. Martin Luther — the man who set the Reformation in motion — held, by his own writing, to something close to what later theology would call "soul sleep": that the dead are, in his words from his 1526 lectures on Ecclesiastes, "completely asleep" and "do not feel anything at all," and that when they are raised it will seem to them as though only a moment had passed. Luther reached this position largely in the course of dismantling the doctrine of purgatory — if the dead are asleep and unaware, there is no conscious suffering for the living to pray someone out of. John Calvin, writing not long after, published a treatise arguing against exactly this view. Two founding figures of the same reforming movement, reading the same Bible, landed in

different places on this specific question — and the Catholic Church, for its part, formally reaffirmed purgatory at the Council of Trent in the mid-1500s, squarely against both of them.

That same three-way split is still visible on the ground today. The Roman Catholic Church continues to teach an immediate particular judgment at death, followed by heaven, hell, or a purifying purgatory. Most Protestant and evangelical churches teach the immediate-heaven position without a purgatory stage. And a smaller but far from marginal group — Seventh-day Adventists, Jehovah's Witnesses, Christadelphians, among others — teach some form of what Luther himself leaned toward: that death is an unconscious, sleeping state, with no awareness of time passing, until a future resurrection.

We are not going to referee that dispute in the introduction. That is the work the rest of this study is for. But it is worth naming plainly, here at the start: this is not a settled question with one obvious answer that only confused people miss. It is a genuine, centuries-old division among people who take the Bible seriously — which is exactly why it deserves a careful, patient look at what the text itself says, rather than an inherited assumption from whichever tradition happened to raise us.

## **An Even Wider Range: What the World Outside the Church Believes**

Step outside Christian circles altogether, and the range of belief only widens — dramatically.

Some people land on honest uncertainty: "I don't know, and I'm not sure anyone does." That is not a cop-out; for many people it is the most intellectually honest position they feel they can hold, and it deserves to be treated as such rather than mocked.

Others land on the opposite end entirely: death as a hard stop, full annihilation, nothing after — no continuation of the person in any form. For a purely materialist view of the world, this is simply where the logic leads: no soul, no spirit, no survival, only the cessation of a biological process.

Others hold to reincarnation — the idea that whatever survives death returns in a new body, a new life, sometimes many times over, often tied to a system of moral cause and effect carried from one life into the next.

And beyond even that, history shows a steady undertow of people drawn to more extreme and experimental claims — communication with the dead, mediums, séances, and what the era that popularized them called "spiritualism."

That movement is worth knowing about specifically, because it is not ancient history — it is startlingly recent, and its fingerprints are still on popular culture today. Modern Spiritualism traces to a specific place and date: Hydesville, New York, in 1848, when two young sisters, Kate and Margaret Fox, claimed that the spirit of a murdered man was communicating with them through rapping sounds in their family home. The claim spread fast. Within a few years, Spiritualism had become a genuine movement across America and then Britain — public séances, professional mediums, the conviction that the veil between the living and the dead was not just thin but actually crossable, given the right circumstances and the right person to open the channel. Interest in the American movement faded by the 1860s, worn down by the upheaval of the Civil War and by a series of public debunkings of prominent mediums, but it had already taken firm root in Britain and Europe, and its basic premise — that the dead are conscious, present, and reachable — never really left Western culture. It survives today in psychic hotlines, "medium" television programs, and the enduring popularity of near-death-experience literature.

Current survey data gives a sense of just how scattered belief remains even now. Pew Research Center's most recent surveys find that roughly seven in ten American adults believe in heaven, while belief in hell

runs somewhat lower, and belief in reincarnation specifically is notably higher among adults under fifty (nearly four in ten) than among older adults (roughly a quarter) — a reminder that this is not a settled question even within a single country, let alone across the whole human race, and that belief continues to shift generation to generation.

## What Other Faiths Teach

Then there are the world's other major religions, each with their own developed, internally coherent teaching about what death means and where it leads. A few of the most notable, briefly:

**Islam** teaches that after death, the soul enters a waiting period — commonly called the *barzakh* — until the Day of Judgment, at which point everyone is resurrected and Allah judges each person's deeds, sending them to paradise or to punishment.

**Judaism**, notably, does not speak with one unified voice on this question any more than Christianity does. Its scriptures speak of *Sheol*, a shadowy realm of the dead, and later rabbinic Judaism developed richer traditions around *olam ha-ba* ("the world to come") and a future bodily resurrection — but Judaism has never enforced a single required doctrine of the afterlife the way it has, for example, on the oneness of God.

**Hinduism** teaches that a person's true self — the *atman* — is eternal and passes through repeated cycles of death and rebirth (*samsara*), shaped by the moral weight of past action (*karma*), until it is finally released and reunited with the ultimate reality, *Brahman* — a release called *moksha*.

**Buddhism** takes a strikingly different starting point: it denies that there is any permanent, unchanging soul to begin with. What continues from one life to the next, in most Buddhist teaching, is not a fixed self but a chain of cause and effect, still shaped by karma, still resulting in rebirth in one form or another, until that chain of craving and rebirth is finally extinguished in *nirvana*.

Four different frameworks, each internally consistent, each offering its own answer to the same universal question — and none of them the same answer the others give.

## Why This Study Matters

Everyone named above — the Christian unsure which denomination has it right, the honest agnostic, the convinced materialist, the believer in reincarnation, the follower of Islam, Judaism, Hinduism, or Buddhism — is asking some version of the same question, because it is simply a human question. Nobody gets to skip it.

And all of them need the truth. Not a tradition, not a guess, not a comforting story — the truth, and specifically the truth as it actually stands written in scripture, examined directly rather than filtered through whatever any of us happened to be taught first.

That is what this study is for. It is written to the believer — to you, if that is where you find yourself — on the conviction that once you have this settled for yourself from God's own word, you are equipped to do something with it: to sit with a grieving coworker and actually know what you're saying instead of repeating a phrase you've never checked; to answer your own children honestly when they ask where Grandma is right now; to speak with a neighbor who has given up on the whole subject as unknowable. Not to win an argument. To offer something real, because you have gone and checked it for yourself.

And here is the heart of what there is to offer, stated plainly up front, to be unfolded fully as this study goes on: everlasting life is available — free of charge, to anyone — through Jesus Christ. That claim, and everything that hangs on it, is where the rest of this study is headed.

## ***Why Does This Matter?***

Because the entire Christian faith is built on a resurrection — and Paul makes the stakes of that almost uncomfortably explicit:

**1 Corinthians 15:16** *For if the dead rise not, then is not Christ raised: 15:17 And if Christ be not raised, your faith is vain; ye are yet in your sins. 15:18 Then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ are perished. 15:19 If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable. 15:20 But now is Christ risen from the dead, and become the firstfruits of them that slept. 15:21 For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead. 15:22 For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. 15:23 But every man in his own order: Christ the firstfruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming. 15:24 Then cometh the end, when he shall have delivered up the kingdom to God, even the Father; when he shall have put down all rule and all authority and power.*

Notice what Paul does not say. He does not say the resurrection is a nice bonus on top of an otherwise-complete salvation. He says that without it, faith is empty, sin is unresolved, and the people we've lost who died believing are simply gone — and if that were true, of all people, we would be the most to be pitied. Whatever the state of the dead actually is right now, this study cannot be a side curiosity. It sits directly on top of the resurrection, which sits directly on top of everything else. Get this wrong, in either direction, and something load-bearing shifts.

That is exactly why it is worth doing carefully, patiently, and honestly — not rushing to whatever answer feels most comforting in the moment, and not settling for whatever we were simply told growing up, but going to the text itself and letting it say what it says. That is where we turn next.

Notice, too, one phrase tucked into that passage that we will spend the rest of this study unpacking: *"for as in Adam all die."* Before we can understand how death is undone, we have to go back to where it started — with one man, in one garden, at the very beginning.

---

# Chapter 1: What Is Man, and What Death Actually Is

---

## What Is Man? Body, Soul, and Spirit

Every question this study is going to ask — what death is, where the dead are, whether anyone is conscious right now — depends on a question that has to come first: what is a human being actually made of? Scripture does not leave us guessing. It answers this in the very first pages, in the account of the very first man, and it answers it with more precision than we usually give it credit for.

**Genesis 2:7** *And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.*

Read slowly, this one verse already gives us two of the three pieces. God *formed* a body — real, physical, made of the same dust that everything else on earth is made of. That is why, when this study talks later about the body returning to dust at death, it is not being poetic; it is simply describing what Genesis already told us the body was made from in the first place. Then God *breathed into* that formed body "the breath of life," and the combination — dust plus breath — is what verse 7 calls a "living soul." Soul, here, is not a separate ghostly passenger riding inside the body; it is what the body *becomes* the moment breath animates it. It is, in the plainest sense, physical life itself — the thing every breathing creature has. Genesis says this explicitly of animals too: they are called "living creatures" in the same Hebrew terms Genesis 2:7 uses for man's "living soul." Body and soul, together, make a living, breathing creature. That much, man shares with every other living thing God made.

But Genesis does not stop there, and this is the piece that gets skipped over most often. Earlier, in the account of the sixth day, we read:

**Genesis 1:26** *And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness... Genesis 1:27*  
*So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.*

*Image of God.* Not "formed," and not "made" — *created*, a different word, reserved in these opening chapters for something new brought into existence rather than something shaped from existing material. So what is this image? We are told plainly, elsewhere in scripture, what God himself is:

**John 4:24** *God is a Spirit: and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth.*

And we are told just as plainly that this God has never been physically seen by anyone:

**John 1:18** *No man hath seen God at any time...*

Put those two facts together and the answer is unavoidable: if God is Spirit, and no one has ever seen him, then being made "in his image" cannot mean physical resemblance — it has to mean something of that same spirit nature. Man was not only formed (body) and made a living soul (breath-animated physical life); man was *created* in the image of God, and the only sense in which an unseen, spirit God has an "image" is a spirit likeness. This is why, when scripture describes a whole, complete human being elsewhere, it consistently reaches for three terms, not two:

**1 Thessalonians 5:23** *And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.*

Spirit, soul, and body — named separately, in that order, as three distinct things that together make up "you wholly." Formed of dust (body). Made a living soul by the breath of life (soul). Created in the image of God (spirit). This is not a minor technical distinction. It is the key that the rest of this study is going to turn, again and again, because the honest answer to "what happens when someone dies?" depends entirely on being able to say which of these three things death actually touches — and, as we are about to see, in Adam's own case, not all three were lost on the same day.

## What Death Actually Is

This is where it all started — not with a philosophical puzzle, but with one man, in one garden, given one instruction.

**Genesis 2:16** *And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: Genesis 2:17 But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.*

Notice the timing built into the warning itself: "in the day that thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely die." Not eventually — that day. The Hebrew behind "thou shalt surely die" doubles the verb for death, a construction scripture uses elsewhere for its most certain, most solemn pronouncements — the closest plain-English equivalent is something like "dying, you shall die." Whatever this meant, God intended Adam to take it as certain, and to take it as tied to that specific day.

Genesis 3 records what happened next: Adam and Eve ate. And here is the detail that the traditional retelling of this story usually glosses right over — Adam did not fall over dead that day. He did not die that year. According to the genealogy scripture itself preserves:

**Genesis 5:5** *And all the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty years: and he died.*

Nine hundred and thirty years. If "thou shalt surely die... in the day" meant instant physical death, God's own word would have failed to happen exactly as stated — and that is not a possibility this study is willing to entertain, because scripture cannot contradict scripture, and it certainly cannot contradict simple, verifiable fact recorded a few chapters later in the same book. So something did die that day — just not the body. What, then?

Look at what changed immediately, within that same chapter, once Adam and Eve had eaten. Their first response was not physical collapse; it was hiding from God, shame, a broken relationship with their Maker where an open one had existed before (Genesis 3:8–10). That break — the severing of the direct, unhindered connection between man's spirit and God, the very "image of God" dimension identified above — is what happened *that day*, immediately, exactly as promised. Scripture elsewhere describes this same condition in the plainest terms:

**Ephesians 2:1** *And you hath he quickened, who were dead in trespasses and sins; Ephesians 4:18 Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart...*

"Dead" — while still walking around, breathing, thinking, feeling. "Alienated from the life of God." That is spiritual death: not the absence of the body's animating breath, but the loss of the spirit-connection to God that had been Adam's from the moment he was created in God's image. A human being still made of body and soul — still very much a "living soul" in the Genesis 2:7 sense — but no longer possessing that third element, the spirit-connection, intact. Scripture has a name for exactly this reduced condition:

**1 Corinthians 2:14** *But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.*  
*Jude 1:19* *These be they who separate themselves, sensual [soulish], having not the Spirit.*

Body and soul, functioning — but "having not the Spirit." That is what Adam became, that very day, exactly as God had said.

Physical death, meanwhile, did not vanish from the sentence — it simply moved from that day to a certain future day, now guaranteed rather than avoided:

**Genesis 3:19** *In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return.*

And in case there were any doubt that physical immortality had genuinely been on the table and was now being deliberately withdrawn:

**Genesis 3:22** *And the LORD God said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil: and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever... Genesis 3:23* *Therefore the LORD God sent him forth from the garden of Eden, to till the ground from whence he was taken. Genesis 3:24* *So he drove out the man; and he placed at the east of the garden of Eden Cherubims, and a flaming sword which turned every way, to keep the way of the tree of life.*

God did not merely let Adam wander off; he took active measures to bar the way back to the one thing that could have kept the body alive forever. From that point on, physical death was no longer a hypothetical — it was simply a matter of time, the same "wages of sin" every one of Adam's descendants has been paid ever since:

**Romans 5:12** *Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned. Romans 6:23* *For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.*

So death, rightly understood from its very first appearance in scripture, is not one event but two: an immediate spiritual death — the loss of that God-given spirit-connection, the same day, exactly as warned — and a future, now-certain physical death, the body's eventual return to the dust it was formed from. Keep that distinction in hand; nearly every confusing passage examined later in this study gets clear the moment it is asked which of the two kinds of death — spiritual or physical — a given verse is actually describing.

There is one more thing tucked into this same chapter of Genesis that deserves to be said now, even though this study will not be able to develop it fully until much later. In the very act of pronouncing the consequences of what Adam and Eve had done — before their expulsion from the garden, before the sentence of a life of labor and a body returning to dust — God spoke a promise, aimed squarely at the source of the whole disaster:

**Genesis 3:15** *And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.*

A future deliverer, born of woman, who would win a decisive victory over the one who had brought death into the world in the first place — spoken in the same breath as the curse itself, before Adam had even been sent out of the garden. Judgment and hope, pronounced together, in the same chapter. That

promise is the thread this entire study is ultimately chasing, all the way to its fulfillment: "since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead... as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive" (1 Corinthians 15:21-22) — the very verse this study already paused on at the end of the introduction. Adam is where death started. He is also, from the very same chapter, where the promise of its undoing began. We will return to that promise and trace it forward once the foundation is fully laid. For now, we turn to a narrower question this chapter has already set up: once physical death does arrive, where, exactly, does a person go?

## Why the Spirit-Connection Could Be Lost At All

One more question sharpens the point already made above: why could that spirit-connection be severed in a single day, for a single act, when the New Birth — permanent, sealed, never withdrawn — is what a believer receives today? Scripture's own pattern, everywhere it records the Spirit resting on someone before Pentecost, answers directly: it was conditional and revocable there, never a fixed possession.

**1 Samuel 16:13-14** *Then Samuel took the horn of oil, and anointed him in the midst of his brethren: and the Spirit of the LORD came upon David from that day forward... But the Spirit of the LORD departed from Saul, and an evil spirit from the LORD troubled him.*

The very same chapter that records the Spirit coming upon David records it departing from Saul — one administration, the same conditional arrangement working in both directions. The judges before them received the Spirit the same way, by measure and by occasion, never as a permanent possession (Judges 3:10; 6:34; 11:29; 14:6, 19; 15:14), and David himself, already anointed, still prays what only makes sense if the gift could be taken away:

**Psalms 51:11** *Cast me not away from thy presence; and take not thy holy spirit from me.*

Adam's own spirit-connection was held on that same conditional footing from the moment he was created — which is exactly why Genesis 2:17's warning could take immediate effect the day it was broken, rather than merely threatening some distant future event. Set against that pattern, the New Birth is described in terms this Old Testament pattern is never used for anyone:

**John 14:16-17** *And I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever... for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you. Ephesians 1:13-14* *In whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, which is the earnest of our inheritance.*

"Abide... for ever." "Sealed." A settled fact of identity, not a conditional visitation that could depart on a single failure — the very thing Adam did not have, and the very thing no one under any administration between Adam and Pentecost ever received either. This library's companion study, *Genesis 1:2 and the Gap Theory Revealed* (its own Chapter 1), develops this same point in fuller detail, tying it to why creation's whole arc was never an improvised repair job: even the terms on which the first man held, and lost, the spirit were set before he ever existed.

---

## Chapter 2: Sheol, Hades, and the Sleep of Death

---

### Where the Dead Actually Are

If physical death is real — and Genesis 3:19 and Genesis 5:5 both confirm that it is, for Adam and for every one of us after him — then the body has to go somewhere. Scripture is not vague about this. It has specific vocabulary for it, used with more precision than most modern readers realize, because English translations have historically blurred several distinct original-language words into the same handful of English words — "hell" and "grave" chief among them. This is very likely the single biggest reason the confusion described at the start of this study exists at all: readers meet the English word "hell" in one verse and "grave" in another and reasonably assume very different things are meant, when in fact the same Hebrew or Greek word is often sitting quietly behind both. So this section is going to slow down and let you see each word for yourself, verse after verse, the way it actually behaves across scripture — not just told what it means, but shown it, repeatedly, until the pattern is unmistakable.

There are four words to know. Two of them — one Hebrew, one Greek — describe the *condition* or *realm* of the dead in general, the place that every person who has ever died goes, good or bad, without exception. The other two — again, one Hebrew, one Greek — describe something narrower and much more concrete: the actual burial spot, the hole in the ground, the literal grave a body is laid in. Confusing these two categories is where most of the misunderstanding starts.

### *Sheol — the Hebrew word for the realm of the dead*

*Sheol* is the Old Testament's word for the common condition of all the dead. The King James translators rendered it, inconsistently, as "hell," "grave," and "pit" — three different English words standing in for one single Hebrew word, depending on what sounded right to the translator in that spot. Watch it appear, in verse after verse, no matter which English word ends up covering it:

**Genesis 37:35** *And all his sons and all his daughters rose up to comfort him; but he refused to be comforted; and he said, For I will go down into the grave [sheol] unto my son mourning. Thus his father wept for him.*

**Numbers 16:30** *But if the LORD make a new thing, and the earth open her mouth, and swallow them up, with all that appertain unto them, and they go down quick into the pit [sheol]; then ye shall understand that these men have provoked the LORD. Numbers 16:33 They, and all that appertained to them, went down alive into the pit [sheol], and the earth closed upon them: and they perished from among the congregation.*

**1 Samuel 2:6** *The LORD killeth, and maketh alive: he bringeth down to the grave [sheol], and bringeth up.*

**Job 14:13** *O that thou wouldest hide me in the grave [sheol], that thou wouldest keep me secret, until thy wrath be past, that thou wouldest appoint me a set time, and remember me!*

**Psalms 16:10** *For thou wilt not leave my soul in hell [sheol]; neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see corruption.*

**Psalms 139:8** *If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there: if I make my bed in hell [sheol], behold, thou art there.*

**Jonah 2:2** *And said, I cried by reason of mine affliction unto the LORD, and he heard me; out of the belly of hell [sheol] cried I, and thou heardest my voice.*

That last one is worth pausing on, because it shows scripture's own honesty about figurative use: Jonah was not literally dead inside the fish, yet he reaches for *sheol* to describe the depth of his distress. Scripture itself, in other words, already treats *sheol* as capable of a poetic, intensified sense — the same way an English speaker today might say "I thought I was a goner" without being clinically dead. That figurative flexibility does not erase the word's plain, literal sense in the verses above; it simply means context always has to be asked to do its job, the same as with any word in any language. And recall from the next section — Ecclesiastes 9:10, already quoted there ("no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave, whither thou goest"), is this same Hebrew word, *sheol*. The vocabulary and the description of what that vocabulary means are, quietly, the same word all along.

## **Hades — its Greek counterpart**

When the New Testament needs the same idea — the general realm or condition of the dead — it reaches for the Greek word *hades*, translated "hell" in most places and, in exactly one verse, "grave." Here it is, at work:

**Matthew 11:23** *And thou, Capernaum, which art exalted unto heaven, shalt be brought down to hell [hades]: for if the mighty works, which have been done in thee, had been done in Sodom, it would have remained until this day.*

**Matthew 16:18** *And I say also unto thee, That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church; and the gates of hell [hades] shall not prevail against it.*

**Revelation 1:18** *I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell [hades] and of death.*

**Revelation 20:13** *And the sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hell [hades] delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works. Revelation 20:14 And death and hell [hades] were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death.*

**1 Corinthians 15:55** *O death, where is thy sting? O grave [hades], where is thy victory?*

That last verse is the one place the King James translators rendered *hades* as "grave" instead of "hell" — the very inconsistency this section opened by naming, visible in a single word, in one of the most quoted verses in the New Testament.

And here is the pairing worth slowing all the way down for, because it is the clearest possible demonstration that *sheol* and *hades* are, quite simply, the same word in two languages, describing the same thing. Peter, preaching at Pentecost, quotes the Psalm 16:10 verse already given above — and quotes it in Greek, using *hades* for the very word that verse uses *sheol* for in the Hebrew original:

**Acts 2:27** *Because thou wilt not leave my soul in hell [hades], neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see corruption. Acts 2:31 He seeing this before spake of the resurrection of Christ, that his soul was not left in hell [hades], neither his flesh did see corruption.*

Same prophecy. Same Person. Hebrew *sheol* in the original psalm; Greek *hades* in Peter's own sermon quoting it. This is not two different concepts that happen to share a translated English word — this is one Old Testament word and its New Testament equivalent, caught in the act of translating each other, in scripture's own hands. It is also worth noticing what Revelation 20:14 already told us above: *hades* itself is temporary. It gets "cast into the lake of fire" once its job is finished — meaning *hades* is not a person's permanent, eternal address; it is a holding condition, active only until the resurrection empties it out for good.

## ***Qeber — the Hebrew word for a literal grave***

Now the second category — not the general realm of the dead, but the specific, physical burial spot. In the Old Testament, that word is *qeber*, and it behaves exactly the way you would expect a word for a literal hole in the ground to behave:

**Genesis 23:4** *I am a stranger and a sojourner with you: give me a possession of a buryingplace [qeber] with you, that I may bury my dead out of my sight. Genesis 23:9 That he may give me the cave of Machpelah, which he hath, which is in the end of his field; for as much money as it is worth he shall give it me for a possession of a buryingplace [qeber] amongst you.*

**Genesis 50:5** *My father made me swear, saying, Lo, I die: in my grave [qeber] which I have digged for me in the land of Canaan, there shalt thou bury me.*

**2 Samuel 3:32** *And they buried Abner in Hebron: and the king lifted up his voice, and wept at the grave [qeber] of Abner; and all the people wept.*

**Isaiah 53:9** *And he made his grave [qeber] with the wicked, and with the rich in his death; because he had done no violence, neither was any deceit in his mouth.*

Notice how differently this word behaves compared to *sheol*. Nobody digs a *sheol*, buys a plot of *sheol*, or weeps beside a *sheol*. People dig, buy, and weep beside a *qeber* — because *qeber* is a place you can point to, not a condition every dead person shares in common. That last verse, from Isaiah's prophecy of the suffering servant, is worth sitting with for a moment on its own: written centuries before Christ, it foretells a specific, literal grave for a specific person — fulfilled, exactly, when Joseph of Arimathaea laid Jesus's body in his own new tomb.

## ***Mnēmeion — its Greek counterpart***

The New Testament's equivalent for this same literal, physical burial place is *mnēmeion* — related to the Greek word for "remembrance," since a tomb was, among other things, a marker of memory. Watch it at work:

**Matthew 27:60** *And laid it in his own new tomb [mnēmeion], which he had hewn out in the rock: and he rolled a great stone to the door of the sepulchre [mnēmeion], and departed.*

Notice that one verse alone renders the same Greek word two different ways in English — "tomb" and then "sepulchre" — a small, self-contained example of exactly the translation inconsistency this whole section has been tracing.

**John 11:38** *Jesus therefore again groaning in himself cometh to the grave [mnēmeion]. It was a cave, and a stone lay upon it.*

And this word carries forward directly into the resurrection promise we will return to in the next chapter — worth reading now, with fresh eyes for the specific word behind it:

**John 5:28** *Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves [mnēmeion] shall hear his voice, John 5:29 And shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation.*

This is the payoff of learning to see these words clearly: it is specifically the *mnēmeion* — the literal tomb, the physical burial place — that gives up its contents at the resurrection, exactly as a body laid in

a specific grave would need to. The person, meanwhile, has been in *hades* — the general condition of death — the whole time in between, exactly as sheol/hades has consistently been shown to mean throughout this section.

### Keeping the four words straight

| Word            | Language               | What it names                                | Example                                                                        |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Sheol</b>    | Hebrew (Old Testament) | The common condition/realm of all the dead   | "Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell [sheol]" (Psalm 16:10)                    |
| <b>Hades</b>    | Greek (New Testament)  | Same as sheol — its direct Greek counterpart | "Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell [hades]" (Acts 2:27, quoting Psalm 16:10) |
| <b>Qeber</b>    | Hebrew (Old Testament) | A specific, literal burial place             | "In my grave [qeber] which I have digged" (Genesis 50:5)                       |
| <b>Mnēmeion</b> | Greek (New Testament)  | Same as qeber — a specific, literal tomb     | "All that are in the graves [mnēmeion] shall hear his voice" (John 5:28)       |

One more thing is worth flagging clearly here, before moving on — because it becomes important later in this study. Sheol and hades are *not* the same thing as several other words also sometimes translated "hell" in English Bibles: *gehenna*, *tartarus*, the "bottomless pit" (*abyss*), and the "lake of fire." Those describe something else entirely — places or states connected to final judgment, not the common condition of the dead awaiting resurrection — and this study will treat them in their own right, later, with the same word-by-word, verse-by-verse care just given to these four, rather than folding everything English happens to call "hell" into a single undifferentiated idea.

What none of these four words has told us yet — deliberately — is what a person's condition actually is while in sheol/hades. Conscious? Unconscious? That is not a question word definitions alone can settle. It has to be settled the way this whole study insists everything be settled: by what scripture directly and repeatedly says. That is the next question.

### What the Clear Scriptures Say Happens at Death

Scripture is not silent on this. In fact, on this specific question — what is a person's condition, once dead, before any future resurrection — scripture speaks with an unusual amount of direct, plain-spoken agreement across both Testaments. Consider these together, not in isolation:

**Ecclesiastes 9:5** *For the living know that they shall die: but the dead know not any thing, neither have they any more a reward; for the memory of them is forgotten. Ecclesiastes 9:6 Also their love, and their hatred, and their envy, is now perished; neither have they any more a portion for ever in any thing that is done under the sun. Ecclesiastes 9:10 Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave, whither thou goest.*

**Psalms 6:5** *For in death there is no remembrance of thee: in the grave who shall give thee thanks?*

**Psalm 115:17** *The dead praise not the LORD, neither any that go down into silence.*

**Psalm 146:4** *His breath goeth forth, he returneth to his earth; in that very day his thoughts perish.*

**Job 14:10** *But man dieth, and wasteth away: yea, man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?*

*Job 14:12 So man lieth down, and riseth not: till the heavens be no more, they shall not awake, nor be raised out of their sleep. Job 14:21 His sons come to honour, and he knoweth it not; and they are brought low, but he perceiveth it not of them.*

Six different writers, across a span of centuries, all describing the same thing in the same terms: no knowledge, no memory, no work, no praise, no perception, no thought — "in that very day his thoughts perish." This is not one poetic verse that could be read as figurative in isolation; it is a consistent, repeated description running through the Wisdom Literature and the Psalms, and it lines up exactly with what the previous chapter already established from Genesis: physical death is the body's genuine return to dust, not a transition into some other form of active awareness.

The New Testament does not contradict this picture — it confirms it, in the plainest possible terms, in the account of Lazarus:

**John 11:11** *...Our friend Lazarus sleepeth; but I go, that I may awake him out of sleep. John 11:12 Then said his disciples, Lord, if he sleep, he shall do well. John 11:13 Howbeit Jesus spake of his death: but they thought that he had spoken of taking of rest in sleep. John 11:14 Then said Jesus unto them plainly, Lazarus is dead.*

Jesus himself equates "dead" with "sleep," and then, seeing that his disciples had misunderstood the figure as literal rest, corrects them "plainly" — not by rejecting the word "sleep," but by clarifying that the sleep he meant was death itself. This is the same picture, in Jesus's own words, that Ecclesiastes, the Psalms, and Job had already given: a state without conscious awareness, awaiting a waking.

Taken together, this is what this study will keep treating as the weight of clear evidence: not one or two isolated proof-texts, but a broad, repeated, cross-Testament pattern, stated in plain rather than figurative language. Anything that seems to say otherwise — and this study will examine several such passages later, carefully and fairly, rather than skip them — has to be read in a way that does not quietly discard this much direct testimony. That is the standard the rest of this study will hold itself to.

## Death Called "Sleep"

By now the pattern should already be visible: scripture's own preferred word for the state of the dead, especially the believing dead, is not annihilation and not conscious departure — it is *sleep*. This is worth pausing on, because it is not a one-off figure of speech used once and dropped. It runs consistently through both Testaments, and it is worth asking why scripture reaches for this particular image so often.

In the Old Testament, the standard formula for a person's death — used again and again, especially of Israel's kings — is some version of "slept with his fathers":

**1 Kings 2:10** *So David slept with his fathers, and was buried in the city of David.*

Not "went to be with his fathers" in some active, conscious sense — *slept*. The formula recurs for king after king, a settled, familiar way of describing death across centuries of the Old Testament record. Daniel, writing centuries later, describes the future resurrection in exactly this vocabulary:

**Daniel 12:2** *And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt.*

Sleep, in the dust of the earth — the same physical picture Genesis 3:19 already gave us — followed by a future *awaking*. That is the whole shape of this study's argument in a single verse: not gone, not conscious somewhere else, but asleep, with a certain waking still ahead.

The New Testament carries the same word forward without alteration. Jesus used it of Lazarus, as we've already seen. Stephen, the first martyr, is described the same way at the moment of his death:

**Acts 7:59** *And they stoned Stephen, calling upon God, and saying, Lord Jesus, receive my spirit. Acts 7:60 And he kneeled down, and cried with a loud voice, Lord, lay not this sin to their charge. And when he had said this, he fell asleep.*

And Paul reaches for this same word repeatedly, almost as a matter of course, whenever he needs to refer to believers who have died:

**1 Corinthians 15:6** *...of whom the greater part remain unto this present, but some are fallen asleep. 1 Corinthians 15:18 Then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ are perished [if Christ is not risen]. 1 Corinthians 15:20 But now is Christ risen from the dead, and become the firstfruits of them that slept.*

**1 Thessalonians 4:13** *But I would not have you to be ignorant, brethren, concerning them which are asleep, that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope. 1 Thessalonians 4:14 For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring with him. 1 Thessalonians 4:15 For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent [precede] them which are asleep.*

Notice what Paul does with this word in that last passage: he does not use it to minimize grief — he uses it to correct grief that has no hope attached to it, "that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope." The comfort is not "don't be sad." It is: *this sleep has a waking point, and here is exactly when it comes*. That is the shape the next chapter is going to take — because a sleeper who never wakes is simply a tragedy with gentler language attached, and that is not what scripture is offering. Every single time scripture calls death "sleep," somewhere nearby it is also pointing forward to a resurrection, a coming, a waking. The word would be cruel without that second half. Scripture never gives us the first half without it.

That waking — when it happens, how it happens, and what it means for "them which are asleep" — is exactly where we turn next.

---

## Chapter 3: The Resurrection, the Lord's Return, and Where Everyone Ends Up

---

### Different Positions, Different Resurrections

Before going any further, something needs to be said plainly, because skipping it is exactly what leaves so many careful readers confused later — especially when they eventually open the book of Revelation

looking for their own hope and find something that doesn't quite match what they expected. Scripture does not describe one single, undifferentiated "resurrection event" that every believer from every era simply steps into the same way. It describes several — different groups, raised at different times, under different headings. And if you have been born again through faith in Jesus Christ during this present age, you occupy a distinct position, with your own distinct resurrection, separate from both the Old Testament believers who came before and the believers who will come to faith during the coming Tribulation. This is not a difference in how loved, how saved, or how secure any of these groups are — every one of them belongs to God, every one of them is raised to life, and none of this is a hierarchy of worth. It is a difference in timing, and in which specific promise a person's own hope actually rests on. Sorting that out now will save a great deal of confusion later.

Start with a small but telling detail most readers never notice. When Paul describes his own hope of resurrection — writing as a believer of this present age, part of what scripture calls the Body of Christ — he does not reach for the ordinary Greek word for resurrection that appears everywhere else in the New Testament. He uses something else:

**Philippians 3:11** *If by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead.*

The word translated "resurrection" here occurs nowhere else in the entire New Testament. Everywhere else, the standard word is *anastasis* — the word behind "resurrection" throughout 1 Corinthians 15, in Acts 24:15, in John 5:29, in Revelation 20. Here, and only here, Paul adds an extra prefix, producing *exanastasis* — literally an "out-resurrection," a resurrection *out from among* the dead, rather than simply "resurrection" in the general sense. That is not a small stylistic accident. It is Paul, describing his own hope as a member of the Body of Christ, in a word he uses nowhere else and that no other New Testament writer uses at all — marking it out, in his own vocabulary, as something distinguishable from the larger, general resurrection everyone else is described as sharing in. This is also exactly how the nineteenth-century Bible scholar E. W. Bullinger, working directly from the Greek text, read this same verse: a further, selective resurrection, distinguished from the more general resurrection described elsewhere.

That distinction turns out to be the key to reading everything that follows without confusion. Scripture describes, in total, three separate resurrection "orders" — Paul's own word for it, from a passage we will return to shortly (1 Corinthians 15:23, "every man in his own order") — not one:

| Group                                                                                                    | When raised                                                      | What scripture calls it                                                                                                            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Believers of this present age (the Body of Christ) — genuinely born again, "written in the book of life" | At Christ's coming <i>for</i> His own — the "gathering together" | An "out-resurrection" (Philippians 3:11), together with the living being changed (1 Thessalonians 4:16–17; 1 Corinthians 15:51–52) |
| Old Testament believers and the righteous of the Tribulation                                             | At Christ's coming <i>with</i> His own — His public appearing    | "The resurrection of the just" (Luke 14:14); part of what Revelation calls "the first resurrection" (Revelation 20:4–6)            |
| The unbelieving of every age                                                                             | After the thousand years, at the great white throne              | "The resurrection of damnation" (John 5:29); "the rest of the dead" (Revelation 20:5)                                              |

It's worth being upfront that not every careful reader of these same passages sorts the details into exactly three orders this way — this particular arrangement is this study's own synthesis of several

passages read together, not something any single verse states outright in this exact form. But the underlying shape — more than one resurrection, at more than one time, sorted by relationship to Christ — is not seriously in dispute, and it is essential for reading what comes next correctly.

This is exactly why the book of Revelation needs to be approached carefully. When John describes "the first resurrection," look closely at who he actually says is in view:

**Revelation 20:4** *And I saw thrones, and they sat upon them, and judgment was given unto them: and I saw the souls of them that were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God, and which had not worshipped the beast, neither his image... and they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years.*

The people John is describing are specifically identified — those "beheaded for the witness of Jesus," language that fits believers of the coming Tribulation, not the Body of Christ. That is not a minor detail to read past. It means that when Revelation speaks of "the first resurrection," it is not, in its own immediate wording, describing the resurrection of a believer of this present age at all — because by that point in the picture scripture gives us, the Body of Christ's own out-resurrection and gathering together has already taken place, back in 1 Thessalonians 4 and 1 Corinthians 15. Revelation is describing what happens afterward, to a different group, at a later stage of the same overall "first resurrection" — not a contradiction of your own hope as a believer of this present age, simply someone else's chapter of it. Once this is understood, Revelation stops being a place to search anxiously for a promise it was never written to give you directly — because that promise was already given to you, in full, in the letters written specifically to this present age.

## The Hope That Makes Sense of Everything

We already read 1 Corinthians 15:16–24 once, at the close of the introduction, as the passage explaining why this whole study matters. It's worth standing in front of it again now that the different orders have been laid out, because everything the last two chapters have established — a real spiritual death the day Adam sinned, a real physical death still to come for his descendants, the dead genuinely asleep and unaware rather than consciously elsewhere — all of it depends entirely on one single event actually being true and actually being coming: the resurrection.

Paul does not treat Christ's own resurrection as an isolated miracle, interesting but self-contained. He treats it as the first installment of something much larger, unfolding in the very order just described:

**1 Corinthians 15:20** *But now is Christ risen from the dead, and become the firstfruits of them that slept. 15:23 But every man in his own order: Christ the firstfruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming.*

"Firstfruits" is a harvest word — the first sheaf brought in, which guarantees the rest of the crop is coming behind it. Christ's own resurrection is presented the same way: not a unique, one-time exception to how death works, but proof of how death is going to be undone for everyone else who belongs to him, each "in his own order" — the Body of Christ first, then those who follow after. The dead are asleep, not annihilated, precisely because a real waking has already been guaranteed by a real, historical, already-accomplished resurrection — Christ's own. Whatever the details of when and how for each group, the fact that death can be reversed at all is no longer theoretical once Christ has already done it once.

## The Parousia

That "coming," mentioned in verse 23, is a specific word in the original language worth knowing, because English tends to flatten it into a vague, generic idea of Jesus "returning someday." The Greek word is *parousia*, and its most basic sense is not motion — arriving — but *presence*: someone being personally, physically there. It is the same word used of any person's arrival and presence, applied here to Christ's own.

Read together, several passages show that this parousia unfolds in more than one stage, not as a single undifferentiated event. The first stage is a coming *for* his own — this is the Body of Christ's own out-resurrection, already introduced above:

**1 Thessalonians 4:16** *For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: 4:17 Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord.*

**1 Corinthians 15:51** *Behold, I shew you a mystery; We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed, 15:52 In a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed.*

The sleeping dead in Christ are raised; those still living are changed; both together meet the Lord. Paul calls this, elsewhere, "our gathering together unto him" (2 Thessalonians 2:1) — explicitly tying the word "gathering together" to this same *parousia*.

A second stage is described separately, and it reads very differently — no longer a quiet gathering of the Body of Christ, but a visible, public appearing, tied to judgment rather than rescue. This is when the Old Testament believers and the righteous of the Tribulation, already distinguished above, are raised:

**2 Thessalonians 1:7** *...the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels, 1:8 In flaming fire taking vengeance on them that know not God, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ:*

Scripture applies the same word, *parousia*, to this later stage as well (2 Thessalonians 2:8), which is why it is best understood as two phases of one extended "coming" rather than two unrelated events: first a gathering of Christ's own to himself, then, afterward, a public, visible appearing associated with judgment on the earth. It's worth saying plainly, again, that not every careful reader of these same passages sequences them exactly this way — this is an area where sincere students of scripture have long disagreed on the finer points, in something of the same way the introduction described real division over the state of the dead. What all sides agree on, and what matters most for this study, is the plain fact underneath the disagreement: Christ is coming again, personally and bodily, and that coming is the specific, appointed moment when the sleeping dead in Christ are raised.

## The Two Resurrections

Having distinguished the Body of Christ's own out-resurrection from what happens afterward, it's worth zooming back out to see the whole shape scripture gives all of this together, because in the widest possible view, there are, at root, only two resurrections — and everything already distinguished above fits inside them.

**John 5:28** *Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice, 5:29 And shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation.*

**Acts 24:15** *And have hope toward God, which they themselves also allow, that there shall be a resurrection of the dead, both of the just and unjust.*

"Of life" and "of damnation." "Of the just and unjust." Everything already described in this chapter — the Body of Christ's out-resurrection at the gathering together, and the Old Testament and Tribulation believers' resurrection at Christ's public appearing — belongs together under the first of these two headings: the resurrection of the just, raised before the Millennium and reigning in it, in their own distinct orders. What remains is the second heading, and it stands alone, on the far side of a long gap in time:

**Revelation 20:5** *But the rest of the dead lived not again until the thousand years were finished. This is the first resurrection. 20:11 And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat on it... 20:12 And I saw the dead, small and great, stand before God; and the books were opened... and the dead were judged out of those things which were written in the books, according to their works. 20:13 And the sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hell delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works.*

"The rest of the dead" — everyone left over from every age who did not belong to Christ — wait until the thousand-year reign is entirely finished, then stand before God to be judged individually according to what is written of them. Two ultimate resurrections in scripture's own accounting; one of them already unfolding in the two distinct orders just traced, one still entirely future and set apart on its own. Different people, different appointed times, different purposes — but in every case, the same underlying fact this entire study has been building toward: the sleep is not permanent. Everyone currently asleep in death has an appointed waking. Whose order they wake up in, and what they are raised to, is a matter of what — and who — they believed, while they were still alive to choose it.

That is not a small footnote. It is, in fact, the whole reason this study insists on being called good news rather than merely a correction to bad tradition.

## Heaven Briefly, Then Home: Where the Saints Will Actually Dwell

There is one more question worth settling plainly here, before moving on — because it is easy to let an unstated assumption slide in underneath everything just established, and that assumption deserves the same direct, scripture-first treatment as everything else in this study. Ask most believers where they will spend eternity, and the answer comes back automatically: heaven. But watch what scripture actually says happens after the gathering together already described above, and a different picture comes into view — one where heaven is real, but brief, and earth, not heaven, is where the saints are actually going to live.

Start where the last section left off — the "gathering together" itself:

**1 Thessalonians 4:17** *Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord.*

Notice exactly what this verse says, and what it does not say. It says believers are "caught up... to meet the Lord in the air." A meeting — not a permanent address. Scripture does not say "caught up to remain

in heaven forever" here; it says caught up to meet Him, in the air, and then to be "ever with the Lord." Where the Lord goes next is the question that actually decides where His own end up, and scripture answers that question directly, in several places, once it is asked.

The clearest single answer is the one most readers pass by without slowing down for, because it sits inside a longer worship scene in Revelation and reads, at a glance, like poetic praise rather than a plain statement of geography. It is not. Read carefully, it is exactly the plain statement this study is looking for:

**Revelation 5:10** *And hast made us unto our God kings and priests: and we shall reign on the earth.*

Not "reign in heaven." *On the earth.* This is not an inference pieced together from several passages read against each other, the way this study has flagged its own synthesis elsewhere (the three resurrection orders, the two-stage parousia) — this is a direct statement, in scripture's own words, of where the redeemed are said to reign. It matches exactly what the last section already quoted from Revelation 20:

**Revelation 20:4** *...and they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years.*

A reign requires a realm to reign over, and Revelation 5:10 has already told us, in scripture's own plain wording, which realm that is: the earth. The thousand-year reign of Revelation 20:4 and the earthly reign of Revelation 5:10 are the same reign, described from two different angles in the same book.

That raises the natural next question: if the saints reign on earth, how do they get there? The answer is that Christ himself brings them, because Christ himself is returning to the very earth He left. This is stated as plainly as anything else in this study, at the moment of His ascension:

**Acts 1:11** *...This same Jesus, which is taken up from you into heaven, shall so come in like manner as ye have seen him go into heaven.*

"In like manner" — bodily, visibly, to the same earth He departed from, not a spiritual or merely symbolic return. The prophet Zechariah, centuries earlier, had already named the very spot:

**Zechariah 14:4** *And his feet shall stand in that day upon the mount of Olives, which is before Jerusalem on the east...*

And when John is given a vision of that same return, he sees it as a procession — Christ is not coming back alone:

**Revelation 19:11** *And I saw heaven opened, and behold a white horse; and he that sat upon him was called Faithful and True, and in righteousness he doth judge and make war. 19:14 And the armies which were in heaven followed him upon white horses, clothed in fine linen, white and clean.*

"The armies which were in heaven" — the saints already gathered to Him, already clothed (the same "fine linen, white and clean" that Revelation 19:8 identifies a few verses earlier as "the righteousness of saints") — return with Him. Jude, quoting an ancient prophecy, says the same thing even more directly:

**Jude 1:14** *...Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his saints,*

And Paul tells the Colossians to expect the same thing, in the plainest possible terms:

**Colossians 3:4** *When Christ, who is our life, shall appear, then shall ye also appear with him in glory.*

Appear with Him — not stay behind while He goes on alone, and not remain in heaven while He comes to earth without them. Put these together with the "gathering together" already traced above, and the sequence scripture actually describes is this: the saints are caught up to meet the Lord in the air; some span of time follows — however long that interval turns out to be, a detail this study is not going to pin down more precisely than scripture itself does — during which the saints are with Christ, in heaven, exactly as promised; and then Christ returns to earth, publicly and bodily, bringing His saints with Him, to reign with Him here, on the earth He is returning to, for the thousand years already described in the last section. Heaven, in other words, is real, and the promise of being "ever with the Lord" is not being taken back — but heaven turns out to be a short waiting room on the way to something scripture actually spends more time describing: a kingdom, on this earth, with Christ reigning visibly and His saints reigning with Him.

It is worth going one step further, because the pattern does not stop at the end of the thousand years — if anything, it becomes even more explicit. When John is finally shown the eternal state, after the Millennium, after the great white throne already covered in the last section, the last thing scripture shows us is not the redeemed being taken up permanently into heaven. It is heaven coming down:

**Revelation 21:1** *And I saw a new heaven and a new earth: for the first heaven and the first earth were passed away... 21:2 And I John saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down from God out of heaven, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband. 21:3 And I heard a great voice out of heaven saying, Behold, the tabernacle of God is with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God.*

"The tabernacle of God is with men" — not men taken up to God's dwelling, but God's dwelling brought down to be with men, on a renewed earth. From the gathering together, through the thousand-year reign, into eternity itself, scripture's own consistent direction is downward, not upward: heaven is where the saints are gathered to meet the Lord and are with Him for a season, but earth — renewed, restored, and finally the very place where God himself makes His home — is where they are actually going to live. Keep this in view; it matters for how the rest of this study talks about hope, and it matters even more for how a believer pictures what is actually waiting on the other side of the sleep already described.

## **Gehenna, Tartarus, the Abyss, and the Lake of Fire**

Back in Chapter 2, this study flagged four more words — *gehenna*, *tartarus*, the "bottomless pit" (*abyss*), and the "lake of fire" — and promised to come back and treat them with the same word-by-word, verse-by-verse care already given to *sheol*, *hades*, *qeber*, and *mnēmeion*. Now that this chapter has laid out where the redeemed actually end up — reigning with Christ, first on the renewed earth, then in the new heaven and new earth of Revelation 21 — it is the right place to look honestly at the other side of that same picture: what scripture says happens to those who are not.

The first thing worth restating plainly, because it is easy to lose: none of these four words is *sheol* or *hades*. Every person who has ever died — believer and unbeliever alike — goes to *sheol/hades*, the common, unconscious condition of the dead described at length in Chapter 2. These four words describe something that happens *after* that condition ends, tied to resurrection and judgment, not the ordinary waiting state every dead person shares.

## Gehenna

The Greek word *geenna* transliterates a Hebrew place name — *Gê Hinnom*, "the Valley of Hinnom," a real ravine on the south side of Jerusalem. It is worth knowing the valley's actual Old Testament history, because a popular explanation of Gehenna — that it was a smoldering, everyday garbage dump in Jesus's own time — turns out to have no ancient support at all; it traces only to a single medieval rabbi writing around A.D. 1200, roughly twelve centuries after Jesus, and no source anywhere near Jesus's own lifetime describes the valley that way. What scripture itself actually records about this valley is darker and more specific:

**Jeremiah 7:31** *And they have built the high places of Tophet, which is in the valley of the son of Hinnom, to burn their sons and their daughters in the fire; which I commanded them not, neither came it into my heart. Jeremiah 19:5 They have built also the high places of Baal, to burn their sons with fire for burnt offerings unto Baal, which I commanded not, nor spake it, neither came it into my mind. 2 Kings 23:10 And he defiled Topheth, which is in the valley of the children of Hinnom, that no man might make his son or his daughter to pass through the fire to Molech.*

Hinnom was where Judah's kings had once burned their own children alive as offerings to Molech — an atrocity scripture names as the reason God pronounced judgment on the place itself, renaming it "the valley of slaughter" (Jeremiah 19:6). By the time Jesus used the name, centuries later, it already carried the full weight of that history: not household trash, but real, remembered horror, under a holy God's judgment.

That is the word Jesus reaches for, again and again, when warning of a coming judgment — and outside one use in James, he is the only person scripture records using it:

**gehennagehennagehennagehennaMatthew 10:28** *And fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him which is able to destroy both soul and body in hell gehenna. Mark 9:43 And if thy hand offend thee, cut it off: it is better for thee to enter into life maimed, than having two hands to go into hell gehenna, into the fire that never shall be quenched: Matthew 5:22 ...whosoever shall say, Thou fool, shall be in danger of hell fire gehenna. James 3:6 And the tongue is a fire, a world of iniquity... and it is set on fire of hell gehenna.*

Notice what Matthew 10:28 says gehenna is capable of doing — not endless preservation of a person, but *destruction*, "both soul and body." That single verse is worth holding onto, because it is scripture's own description of gehenna's effect, in Jesus's own words, rather than an assumption read into the word from outside.

## Tartarus

This one appears exactly once in the entire Bible, and nowhere else — a single verb, used a single time, about a single group:

**tartarus2 Peter 2:4** *For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell tartarus, and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment;*

Peter reaches for a word borrowed directly from Greek literature — in pagan mythology, *Tartarus* named the place where the old gods imprisoned their own defeated enemies, below Hades itself. Peter

is not endorsing that mythology, any more than Luke is later shown to be endorsing the mythology behind the Python-oracle when this same principle comes up again in Acts 16 (Chapter 5); he is using a word his Greek-speaking readers would recognize, to name something specific: a holding place, for fallen angels specifically, "reserved unto judgment" — not the common condition of dead human beings at all. Nothing elsewhere in scripture applies this word to people.

### ***The Abyss (the "Bottomless Pit")***

The Greek word here is *abyssos* — "without bottom" — and the Old Testament Greek translation Jesus's own generation read used this same word for "the deep" of Genesis 1:2. In the New Testament, it names a real place of confinement, mostly for spirits rather than people:

**Luke 8:31** *And they besought him that he would not command them to go out into the deep [abyssos]. Revelation 9:1 ...and to him was given the key of the bottomless pit [abyssos]. Revelation 9:11 And they had a king over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit [abyssos]... Revelation 20:1 And I saw an angel come down from heaven, having the key of the bottomless pit [abyssos] and a great chain in his hand. Revelation 20:3 And cast him into the bottomless pit [abyssos], and shut him up, and set a seal upon him, that he should deceive the nations no more, till the thousand years should be fulfilled...*

The demons in Luke 8 dread being sent there; Satan himself is bound there for the thousand years already covered earlier in this chapter — and notice that this is explicitly temporary, "till the thousand years should be fulfilled," a holding place with an appointed release date, not yet the final judgment itself. That final step comes only afterward, at the very end of the sequence this chapter has already traced:

**Revelation 20:10** *And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.*

Which brings this study to the last, and most final, of the four words.

### ***The Lake of Fire***

This phrase appears nowhere except Revelation, always at the very end of the sequence already traced earlier in this chapter — after the thousand-year reign, after the great white throne:

**Revelation 20:14** *And death and hell [hades] were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death. Revelation 20:15 And whosoever was not found written in the book of life was cast into the lake of fire. Revelation 21:8 But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake of fire and brimstone: which is the second death.*

Two details are worth reading closely rather than past. First, verse 20:14 already told us, back in Chapter 2, that *hades* itself — the common holding condition of all the dead — is finally emptied into the lake of fire once its purpose is finished; the lake of fire is not another name for *hades* but what *hades* is ultimately replaced by. Second, scripture is specific about which title it repeatedly attaches to this: "the second death" (20:14; 21:8). Sincere readers of these same verses have long divided over exactly what that title means in practice — some reading "tormented day and night for ever and ever" (20:10, stated explicitly of the devil, the beast, and the false prophet) as describing the fate of unbelieving humanity as

well, and others reading "second death" at face value — a second, final death, the destruction Matthew 10:28 already described as gehenna's own effect, "both soul and body," rather than unending conscious life in torment. This study will not settle a dispute this old in a single section; what it can say plainly, from the words themselves, is that both readings agree the lake of fire is not the ordinary condition of the sleeping dead described throughout this whole study — it is a final, appointed outcome, arriving only after resurrection and judgment, for those "whose names were not found written in the book of life" (20:15).

That is the whole reason this distinction, flagged back in Chapter 2, mattered enough to promise a return to it. Sheol and hades describe where every person who has ever died is right now — asleep, unconscious, awaiting a resurrection already guaranteed by Christ's own. Gehenna, tartarus, the abyss, and the lake of fire describe something entirely different: what scripture says is still to come, for fallen angels and unbelieving humanity alike, after that sleep ends and judgment is rendered — a subject worth knowing plainly, but not to be confused with the question this study exists to answer.

### *Keeping these four words straight*

| Word                          | Language                              | What it names                                                                | Example                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Gehenna</b>                | Greek (from Hebrew <i>Gê Hinnom</i> ) | Final judgment by fire, named for the valley of child sacrifice to Molech    | "able to destroy both soul and body in hell <a href="#">gehenna</a> " (Matthew 10:28)               |
| <b>Tartarus</b>               | Greek                                 | A holding place for the fallen angels specifically, reserved unto judgment   | "cast them down to hell <a href="#">tartarus</a> ... to be reserved unto judgment" (2 Peter 2:4)    |
| <b>Abyss / bottomless pit</b> | Greek ( <i>abyssos</i> )              | A temporary confinement, mostly for spirits and, later, Satan himself        | "cast him into the bottomless pit... till the thousand years should be fulfilled" (Revelation 20:3) |
| <b>Lake of fire</b>           | Greek                                 | The final outcome after resurrection and judgment, called "the second death" | "death and hell were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death" (Revelation 20:14)       |

## Chapter 4: The Hardest Verses, Read in Context

### The Verses Everyone Quotes

Back in the introduction, this study named the belief held by most Christians today plainly — that the moment a believer dies, they are ushered immediately into heaven, conscious, in God's presence — and promised to come back and weigh it directly against scripture rather than simply assume it. Everything covered since then has been building the tools needed to do that fairly: the real difference between spiritual and physical death, established from Adam's own case; the actual meaning of sheol, hades,

geber, and mnēmeion; the plain, repeated testimony that death is an unconscious sleep, not a conscious departure; and the resurrection — in its own several distinct orders — as the appointed waking point.

Now it's time to use those tools on the specific handful of verses almost every immediate-heaven argument is built from. There are eight of them, spread across four New Testament writers and one Old Testament narrative, and nearly all of Christian tradition's confusion on this subject traces back to this same short list, read without the context this study has now spent three chapters laying down. Taken one at a time, with that context in hand, none of them actually says what they are popularly quoted as saying — and a few of them, read carefully, say something closer to the opposite. The rest of this chapter takes them in turn, giving each one the same careful, patient attention already given to everything before it.

## "To Die Is Gain"

The first, and probably most quoted, comes from Paul's own pen, written from prison, weighing his own possible execution:

**Philippians 1:21** *For to me to live is Christ, and to die is gain. 1:22 But if I live in the flesh, this is the fruit of my labour: yet what I shall choose I wot not. 1:23 For I am in a strait betwixt two, having a desire to depart, and to be with Christ; which is far better: 1:24 Nevertheless to abide in the flesh is more needful for you.*

Read quickly, verse 23 sounds like a simple equation: departing equals being with Christ, and "far better" makes it sound immediate. But look at what Paul is actually weighing. He names two live options — continuing to live "in the flesh," which he calls "the fruit of my labour," or "to depart, and to be with Christ." He is not describing three stages, death, then a wait, then Christ's return; he is describing two total outcomes he is personally torn between, from his own vantage point. And that is exactly where the tool this study already built does its work. Scripture has already established, repeatedly and plainly, that death carries no awareness of elapsed time — "in that very day his thoughts perish" (Psalm 146:4); "the dead know not any thing" (Ecclesiastes 9:5). If that is true, then from Paul's own experience — not a calendar, but Paul's own conscious perspective — there is no gap between "depart" and "be with Christ" at all. Whether Christ's return comes one year after Paul's death or two thousand, Paul himself would not experience the wait; his very next moment of awareness, whenever it objectively occurs, is being with Christ. "To depart, and to be with Christ" is one seamless experience from the inside, even while it may be centuries apart on the outside. That is not a trick of wording — it is exactly what the rest of scripture has already told us sleep without dreams or awareness is like, applied honestly to this one verse instead of treated as an exception to it.

It's also worth adding that the passage's own stated subject, repeated four separate times in the surrounding verses (1:12, 1:18, 1:25, 1:27), is "the furtherance of the gospel" — not the mechanics of the afterlife. Paul's real dilemma, in context, is whether his life or his death better serves that goal; "far better" most naturally compares those two outcomes for the gospel's sake and for Paul's own personal desire, rather than asserting a doctrine about the timing of his arrival in Christ's presence. Either way it is read, this verse was never making the claim it gets quoted to make.

## "Absent from the Body, Present with the Lord"

The second passage, from the same author, gets quoted even more often, usually as a stand-alone phrase stripped from everything around it:

**2 Corinthians 5:6** ...we are always confident, knowing that, whilst we are at home in the body, we are absent from the Lord: 5:8 We are confident, I say, and willing rather to be absent from the body, and to be present with the Lord.

Notice first what the verse actually says, word for word: "willing rather to be absent from the body." Willing — a statement of Paul's preference, not a flat assertion of what automatically and immediately happens. But the stronger point is what this passage is actually about, laid out just a few verses earlier, and almost never quoted alongside it:

**2 Corinthians 5:1** For we know that if our earthly house of this tabernacle were dissolved, we have a building of God, an house not made with hands, eternal in the heavens. 5:2 For in this we groan, earnestly desiring to be clothed upon with our house which is from heaven: 5:3 If so be that being clothed we shall not be found naked. 5:4 For we that are in this tabernacle do groan, being burdened: not for that we would be unclothed, but clothed upon, that mortality might be swallowed up of life.

Paul says, in his own words, exactly what he is *not* hoping for: to be "unclothed" — left bodiless. What he is hoping for is to be "clothed upon" with a new house "from heaven" — a new body, so that "mortality might be swallowed up of life" the instant it happens. This is the very same language, describing the very same event, already covered in Chapter 3: "this corruptible must put on incorruption, and this mortal must put on immortality" (1 Corinthians 15:53). Paul is not describing a disembodied, floating consciousness in heaven; he is describing the resurrection body — and for the same reason already given above, from his own experience that transition is instantaneous, with nothing subjectively in between. "Absent from the body, and present with the Lord" is Paul's own shorthand for exactly that: not a picture of bodiless waiting, but of a change he expects to experience as seamless, whatever the calendar says on the outside.

## Two Who Seemed to Bypass Death

### *The Transfiguration*

**Matthew 17:1** After six days Jesus taketh Peter, James, and John his brother, and bringeth them up into an high mountain apart, 17:2 And was transfigured before them: and his face did shine as the sun, and his raiment was white as the light. 17:3 And, behold, there appeared unto them Moses and Elias talking with him. 17:9 And as they came down from the mountain, Jesus charged them, saying, Tell the vision to no man, until the Son of man be risen again from the dead.

The detail worth noticing, tucked into Jesus's own instruction on the way down the mountain, is the word he uses for what had just happened: "the vision" (v. 9) — scripture's own word for it. That word matters, because "vision" is not scripture's word for literal, physical, conscious presence; it is scripture's word for a shown thing, a revelatory picture given to someone's sight, not a claim that the person or scene depicted is literally, presently there the way an ordinary conversation would require. When Peter later has a vision of a sheet let down from heaven full of animals (Acts 10:9–16), no one supposes an actual sheet of actual animals was physically lowered from the sky at that moment; the vision conveyed a real message using pictured content. The Transfiguration is scripture's own word for the same kind of event.

Moses himself, meanwhile, is recorded elsewhere as having died and been buried by God's own hand:

**Deuteronomy 34:5** *So Moses the servant of the LORD died there in the land of Moab, according to the word of the LORD. 34:6 And he buried him in a valley in the land of Moab... but no man knoweth of his sepulchre unto this day.*

Moses died. Scripture states it as plainly as it states anyone's death anywhere else, with no special exception noted. A vision showing Moses, then, is no more evidence that Moses is presently, consciously alive than a vision of any other departed Old Testament figure would be — it is a shown thing, given for a purpose, and Jesus himself tells us part of that purpose: the vision was tied explicitly to His own coming resurrection ("until the Son of man be risen again from the dead"), a preview of resurrection glory rather than a window into Moses's present, ongoing consciousness.

## ***Enoch, Who Was Translated***

The second case is different in an important way, and this study wants to be honest about that rather than force it to match the first. Set side by side, the contrast could hardly be sharper:

**Hebrews 11:5** *By faith Enoch was translated that he should not see death; and was not found, because God had translated him: for before his translation he had this testimony, that he pleased God.*

**Hebrews 11:13** *These all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off, and were persuaded of them, and embraced them...*

"These all died" — every other name on the list, without exception, immediately after the one name explicitly marked out as not seeing death. Enoch's own verse comes first in the chapter; the "these all died" verdict follows a few verses later, covering Abel, Noah, Abraham, and Sarah, everyone named around him. That order and that contrast are the whole point: Enoch is remarkable, worth naming specifically, precisely because what happened to him did not happen to anyone else — not Abraham, not Sarah, not the countless faithful who came after. A single, explicitly marked exception does not overturn a rule; if anything, being singled out this way confirms how exceptional it was.

That raises a fair question worth answering carefully rather than passing over: what does scripture actually mean by "translated"? It is not a vague or figurative word. The Greek behind it, *metatithēmi*, means to transfer or remove something from one place or condition to another — and the word's own use elsewhere in the New Testament shows exactly that sense at work. Paul uses it of the Galatians being "removed [metatithēmi] from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another gospel" (Galatians 1:6) — a transfer from one standing to another. Stephen uses it of the patriarchs' bones being "carried over [metatithēmi] into Sychem" (Acts 7:16) — a physical relocation from one place to another. Hebrews itself uses the same word of a change from one priestly order to another: "the priesthood being changed [metatithēmi], there is made of necessity a change also of the law" (Hebrews 7:12). In every case, the word describes something being taken out of its former place or condition and set into a new one — never destroyed, never merely beginning to exist differently in place, but genuinely relocated by an outside hand.

Applied to Enoch, this matches exactly what Genesis itself already told us in its own, older vocabulary:

**Genesis 5:24** *And Enoch walked with God: and he was not; for God took him.*

Genesis and Hebrews, centuries apart, in two different languages, are describing the same event the same way: not Enoch dying and his soul departing somewhere, but Enoch himself — bodily — being

removed from ordinary earthly life by God's own direct action. The Hebrew word for "took," *laqach*, carries the same sense of a deliberate removal by an outside hand that *metatithēmi* carries in the Greek.

Scripture gives us exactly one other case built the same way, which is worth setting alongside Enoch's, because it shows what "translated" looks like in full, narrated detail rather than in a single summary sentence:

**2 Kings 2:11** *And it came to pass, as they still went on, and talked, that, behold, there appeared a chariot of fire, and horses of fire, and parted them both asunder; and Elijah went up by a whirlwind into heaven.*

Elijah, like Enoch, does not die in the ordinary sense scripture uses for everyone else — no burial, no return to dust, simply a visible, bodily departure, witnessed by Elisha standing beside him. Between Enoch's brief notice in Genesis and Elijah's fuller account in 2 Kings, scripture gives a consistent picture of what "translated" means: a real, bodily removal from earthly life, accomplished by God directly, bypassing the process of death altogether — not a figure of speech for dying well, and not a promise of immediate resurrection either.

That last distinction matters and is worth stating plainly, because it is easy to blur the two. Being "translated" is not the same thing as being resurrected or "raised incorruptible," the future, body-transforming event already covered in Chapter 3 — Enoch and Elijah were removed still wearing the same bodies they had always had, not raised in new, glorified ones; scripture nowhere claims otherwise for either of them. What their cases tell us is only this: two men, uniquely, were kept from experiencing death's onset at all. What is not said — for either of them — is where they currently are or what their present condition is beyond that. "Should not see death" describes what Enoch was spared, not what he has been doing in the millennia since. It is simply not the same claim as "believers who die today go immediately to conscious life in heaven" — it is scripture's own record of two men, uniquely, being kept from death altogether, which is a different question from what death itself is like for everyone else.

## Two Records from Luke

### *The Rich Man and Lazarus*

**Luke 16:19** *There was a certain rich man, which was clothed in purple and fine linen, and fared sumptuously every day: 16:20 And there was a certain beggar named Lazarus, which was laid at his gate, full of sores... 16:22 And it came to pass, that the beggar died, and was carried by the angels into Abraham's bosom: the rich man also died, and was buried; 16:23 And in hell he lift up his eyes, being in torments, and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom... 16:31 And he said unto him, If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead.*

This is the passage that, on a surface reading, seems to describe individual consciousness, memory, and sensation immediately after death — the rich man can see, feel thirst, recognize Abraham and Lazarus, and hold a conversation across a distance. Taken as a literal, factual report, this account is genuinely hard to square with everything already established: it contradicts the plain, repeated testimony of Ecclesiastes, the Psalms, and Job that the dead have no knowledge, no thought, no awareness; and it would place final torment before any resurrection or judgment, when Revelation 20 plainly puts judgment after the resurrection, not immediately at individual death. Scripture cannot contradict scripture — so before accepting a literal reading here, it is worth asking whether a literal reading was ever the intent.

Several things in the passage's own setting point away from strict literalism. First, its audience: Luke 16 opens with Jesus teaching His disciples (16:1), then explicitly turns to address the Pharisees directly — "the Pharisees also, who were covetous, heard all these things: and they derided him" (16:14) — making this story, like the rest of the chapter, aimed squarely at a specific group with specific, well-documented beliefs of their own about compartments of the afterlife, reward, and punishment. Second, the story's own physical details do not hold together as a literal description even on their own terms: a disembodied spirit, before any resurrection body has been given, is described here with eyes to lift up, a tongue needing cooling, and a finger that could dip in water — details that belong to a body, not the sheol/hades condition already established as a state, not a place with physical sensation. Third — and this is the detail worth sitting with longest — the story's own conclusion tells us exactly what point Jesus was making with it: "If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead" (16:31). The entire account builds to a statement about the sufficiency of scripture already given ("Moses and the prophets") for producing repentance, addressed to people who reject scripture and would go on rejecting it no matter what supernatural sign they were shown — not a systematic disclosure of the furniture and geography of life immediately after death. Read this way — as Jesus meeting the Pharisees inside a framework they already held, to make a specific point about hardened unbelief — nothing about it requires abandoning what several other biblical writers have already stated plainly elsewhere.

### ***"Today Thou Shalt Be with Me in Paradise"***

The second passage from Luke is shorter, but just as often quoted on its own:

**Luke 23:42** *And he said unto Jesus, Lord, remember me when thou comest into thy kingdom.*  
**23:43** *And Jesus said unto him, Verily I say unto thee, To day shalt thou be with me in paradise.*

Two things are worth knowing about this single verse before treating it as settled.

The first is punctuation. The earliest Greek manuscripts were written with no punctuation marks at all — no commas, no periods; every comma in every English Bible was added centuries later by editors and translators making their best judgment about where a pause belonged. That means the placement of the comma in "Verily I say unto thee, To day shalt thou be with me in paradise" is an editorial decision, not part of the inspired text itself — and it is not the only defensible way to place it. Read instead as "Verily I say unto thee to day, thou shalt be with me in paradise," the word "today" attaches to the solemn act of speaking — Jesus making this promise, on this day, from this cross — rather than promising the timing of the malefactor's arrival in paradise. This is not an invented reading forced onto the text to fit a theory; solemn declarations built exactly this way — "I say unto thee this day" — are a recognized pattern in scripture's own language elsewhere (see, for example, Deuteronomy 6:6; 7:11; 8:1, where Moses repeatedly says "which I command thee this day," emphasizing the solemnity of the moment of speaking, not a future deadline). Both placements are grammatically legitimate; only one of them contradicts everything else this study has already established from plainer, punctuation-independent verses.

The second thing worth knowing is what "paradise" itself means elsewhere in scripture — because it is not simply a synonym for "heaven" or for wherever the righteous dead currently are. Paul uses the word once, of an experience he is careful to describe as a vision, not death: "caught up into paradise" (2 Corinthians 12:4), paired in the same passage with being "caught up to the third heaven" (12:2) — a temporary visionary experience, not death itself. And the only other New Testament use ties the word

directly to the future, restored kingdom: "To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the tree of life, which is in the midst of the paradise of God" (Revelation 2:7) — language that connects straight back to the tree of life in the new heaven and new earth at the very end of Revelation (22:1-2, 14), after the resurrection, not before it. Read against its own consistent use elsewhere, "paradise" looks far more like scripture's word for the final, restored kingdom the malefactor had just asked to be remembered in — "Lord, remember me when thou comest into thy kingdom" (23:42) — than a waystation entered the moment of death. The malefactor asked about a future coming; the most natural reading has Jesus answering the very question he asked.

## Two Final Puzzles

### *The Woman with Seven Husbands*

**Matthew 22:23** *The same day came to him the Sadducees, which say that there is no resurrection, and asked him... 22:28 Therefore in the resurrection whose wife shall she be of the seven? for they all had her. 22:29 Jesus answered and said unto them, Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God... 22:31 But as touching the resurrection of the dead, have ye not read that which was spoken unto you by God, saying, 22:32 I am the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob? God is not the God of the dead, but of the living.*

Verse 32 is the line usually lifted out on its own — "God is not the God of the dead, but of the living" — read as proof that Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob must be alive and conscious right now, since God speaks of them in the present tense. But look at who is asking the question, and what they are asking about. The Sadducees, scripture tells us plainly in the very first line of the exchange, "say that there is no resurrection" (22:23) — they reject the idea of a future resurrection outright, and construct their seven-husbands scenario specifically to make resurrection sound absurd. Jesus's entire answer, start to finish, is a defense of the reality of a future resurrection — he says so explicitly, twice, in the two verses immediately before the one usually quoted alone: "as touching the resurrection of the dead" (22:31). The Abraham/Isaac/Jacob argument is not a stand-alone claim about their present state; it is the capstone of an argument for why resurrection must happen.

The logic runs like this: centuries after Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob had all died and been buried, God still identified himself to Moses as "the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob" (Exodus 3:6) — present tense, an ongoing relationship, not "I was their God." If God is "not the God of the dead" — meaning, God does not bind himself in an everlasting covenant relationship to people who are simply gone for good — then his continuing to describe himself this way is itself a guarantee that Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob will live again. It is an argument from God's own faithfulness to his covenant promises, not a claim that they are presently, consciously alive somewhere. Scripture speaks this way elsewhere too, describing guaranteed future realities with present-tense confidence because God's word settles them beyond doubt — Paul, for instance, describes God as the one "who quickeneth the dead, and calleth those things which be not as though they were" (Romans 4:17). Read in its own context — the Sadducees' own question and Jesus's own stated subject — this verse is not a window into Abraham's present condition. It is Jesus proving the very doctrine his questioners had come to mock.

### *The Witch of Endor*

The last case is the strangest of the eight, and the one scripture itself is most careful to frame as a warning rather than an endorsement.

**1 Samuel 28:6** And when Saul enquired of the LORD, the LORD answered him not, neither by dreams, nor by Urim, nor by prophets. 28:7 Then said Saul unto his servants, Seek me a woman that hath a familiar spirit, that I may go to her, and enquire of her... 28:11 Then said the woman, Whom shall I bring up unto thee? And he said, Bring me up Samuel. 28:12 And when the woman saw Samuel, she cried with a loud voice... 28:15 And Samuel said to Saul, Why hast thou disquieted me, to bring me up?...

Before asking what actually happened in this scene, it is worth asking why Saul was there at all — because scripture is explicit that this was not a legitimate channel he had any business using. God's own law forbids it in the plainest terms: "There shall not be found among you... a consulter with familiar spirits, or a wizard, or a necromancer... for all that do these things are an abomination unto the LORD" (Deuteronomy 18:10–12; see also Leviticus 19:31). Saul himself had previously enforced this very law, "put away those that had familiar spirits, and the wizards, out of the land" (1 Samuel 28:3) — and now, having lost contact with God through his own disobedience (28:6), he violates the same law he had once enforced, out of desperation. Scripture's own later commentary on this event does not treat it as a success or a proof of anything worth imitating: "So Saul died for his transgression which he committed against the LORD... and also for asking counsel of one that had a familiar spirit, to enquire of it; and enquired not of the LORD" (1 Chronicles 10:13–14). The seance itself is named as part of what got Saul killed, not as a demonstration of how the afterlife normally works.

As for what the medium actually produced, reasonable readers of scripture have long disagreed, and this study will not pretend the matter is more settled than it is. Some read it as a demonic counterfeit — a familiar spirit impersonating Samuel, consistent with the wider pattern of Satan's ability to deceive (2 Corinthians 11:14, "Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light"). Others, noting the medium's own shock at what happened — "she cried with a loud voice" (28:12), suggesting something beyond her ordinary practice had occurred — and the fact that the message given turned out to be true, read this as a singular, God-permitted exception, Samuel's actual presence allowed for this one occasion as an act of judgment on Saul specifically. What matters for this study is that both readings agree on the point that actually bears on the question at hand: neither one turns this scene into a legitimate, repeatable demonstration that the dead are ordinarily available for the living to summon and consult. It is either a counterfeit of a forbidden practice producing a false result, or a solitary, extraordinary exception granted once, to one king, as a specific act of judgment — and either way, it offers no support for the idea that the dead in general are presently conscious and reachable. If anything, being explicitly forbidden, explicitly rare, and explicitly tied to a king's downfall makes it one more confirmation of the same rule already established from every plainer text in this study: ordinary access to the conscious dead is not how scripture describes reality, before or after this single, strange, cautionary episode.

That last case, in particular, points toward a subject this study has already referenced more than once without stopping to examine closely — what modern séances, mediums, and claims of contact with the dead actually are, and where that power, when it is real, actually comes from. That is where we turn next.

---

## Chapter 5: Spiritism, Mediums, and Familiar Spirits

---

### Where This Power Actually Comes From

The last chapter closed with the strange case of Saul, Endor, and a medium who summoned something calling itself Samuel — and closed by promising to come back to the larger question that case only touches the edge of: what claims of contact with the dead actually are, when the phenomenon behind them is real rather than simple fraud. The introduction to this study already sketched the history — the Fox sisters and the birth of modern Spiritualism at Hydesville, New York, in 1848, and the movement's long afterlife in psychic hotlines, "medium" television programs, and a steady public appetite for the idea that the dead can be reached. That history is worth returning to now with the tools this study has built since, because if everything established so far is true — if the dead are asleep, unaware, awaiting a resurrection that has not yet come — then whatever is actually happening in a genuine séance, it cannot be what it claims to be. Someone, or something, is speaking. It is simply not who it says it is.

### Familiar Spirits, Then and Now

Scripture does not treat this as a new problem invented by nineteenth-century spiritualists. It is old enough to have its own vocabulary and its own explicit law, given to Israel long before Saul ever went looking for a medium at Endor:

**Leviticus 19:31** *Regard not them that have familiar spirits, neither seek after wizards, to be defiled by them: I am the LORD your God. Leviticus 20:27 A man also or woman that hath a familiar spirit, or that is a wizard, shall surely be put to death: they shall stone them with stones: their blood shall be upon them. Deuteronomy 18:10 There shall not be found among you... a consulter with familiar spirits, or a wizard, or a necromancer. Deuteronomy 18:12 For all that do these things are an abomination unto the LORD...*

Already met in the last chapter's treatment of Endor — worth repeating here because it is the foundation everything else in this chapter stands on. The Law does not treat consulting the dead as merely unwise, or as a harmless folk practice to be tolerated. It is named an "abomination," carrying the death penalty under the Law given to Israel — language reserved for the most serious offenses. That severity is itself a clue: scripture is not warning Israel away from something powerless. It is warning them away from something real enough to be genuinely dangerous.

The prophet Isaiah gives the clearest single statement of why, and it is worth reading slowly, because it is framed as a direct rhetorical challenge rather than a simple prohibition:

**Isaiah 8:19** *And when they shall say unto you, Seek unto them that have familiar spirits, and unto wizards that peep, and that mutter: should not a people seek unto their God? for the living to the dead? Isaiah 8:20 To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them.*

"For the living to the dead?" — Isaiah's own question, and it carries the same logic this whole study has been building from Ecclesiastes and the Psalms onward: if the dead are asleep, unconscious, without knowledge (Ecclesiastes 9:5), then seeking them out for guidance is not simply forbidden, it is backwards — asking nothing for answers only God, and God's own revealed word, can actually give. And notice the test Isaiah hands his readers in the very next breath: "to the law and to the testimony" — scripture itself as the standard against which every claimed spiritual voice, ancient or modern, has to be measured.

That is not a throwaway line. It is the same principle this entire study has tried to practice on itself, chapter by chapter — nothing accepted simply because it sounds convincing or comes wrapped in something that feels supernatural, but tested against what has already been plainly, clearly established.

## Real, But Not What It Claims to Be

It would be simplest, in one sense, to say that everything claiming to be contact with the dead is pure fraud — cold reading, stagecraft, wishful thinking. Sometimes it plainly is. But scripture does not let this study settle for quite so easy an answer, because scripture itself records at least one case where something genuinely supernatural was operating behind exactly this kind of activity, and identifies precisely what it was:

**Acts 16:16** *And it came to pass, as we went to prayer, a certain damsel possessed with a spirit of divination met us, which brought her masters much gain by soothsaying: 16:17 The same followed Paul and us, and cried, saying, These men are the servants of the most high God, which shew unto us the way of salvation. 16:18 And this did she many days. But Paul, being grieved, turned and said to the spirit, I command thee in the name of Jesus Christ to come out of her. And he came out the same hour.*

Notice what this account does not say. It does not say the girl was faking her abilities for money, though her masters certainly profited from them (v.16). It says she was "possessed with a spirit of divination" — literally, in the Greek, a "spirit of Python," a name drawn directly from the mythology surrounding the famous oracle at Delphi, where a priestess was believed to channel prophetic utterances. Luke, writing under inspiration, is not endorsing that mythology; he is using its own name for the phenomenon to identify what kind of spirit this was — real enough to produce accurate-sounding utterances, real enough that Paul treated it as a literal spirit to be commanded out "in the name of Jesus Christ," and it obeyed. Whatever was speaking through this girl was not fraudulent in the sense of being merely invented. It was a spirit — just not a human one, and not the spirit of anyone she claimed to represent.

This is exactly the pattern scripture describes for supernatural deception generally, and it is worth seeing stated as a general principle, not just in this one narrative:

**2 Corinthians 11:14** *And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.*  
**2 Thessalonians 2:9** *Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, 2:10 And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved.*  
**1 Timothy 4:1** *Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils...*

"Signs and lying wonders" — not empty tricks, but real supernatural activity, deployed specifically to deceive. "Seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils" — a warning aimed at exactly the kind of teaching a convincing séance, or a convincingly accurate medium, could plant in someone's mind: that the dead are not asleep after all, that they are near, reachable, willing to speak, when scripture has already shown at length that this is not where they actually are or what their condition actually is. Whatever genuine power is behind these phenomena — and Acts 16 shows there sometimes is real power involved — its source is never named in scripture as the actual departed human being it claims to be. It is named, consistently, as a spirit of an entirely different order, permitted to operate but never honestly representing itself for what it is.

## Testing the Spirits

Given all this, scripture does not leave a reader defenseless in the face of a convincing claim — whether it comes wrapped in nineteenth-century séance parlors, modern television psychics, or a grieving friend's sincere conviction that they have heard from someone they lost. It gives a plain instruction:

**1 John 4:1** *Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world.*

"Try the spirits" — not simply accept, and not simply dismiss out of hand either, but test. And the test scripture itself supplies is the same one Isaiah already gave: "to the law and to the testimony" — does the claim square with what God's own word has already, plainly, repeatedly said? Everything this study has traced from Genesis to Revelation says the dead are asleep, unconscious, awaiting a specific, appointed resurrection — not near, not listening, not available to be summoned. Measured against that standard, a claimed message from beyond the grave, however convincing, however accurate it may even sometimes seem, is not evidence against everything already established. It is evidence of something else speaking — and scripture has already told us, in its own words, what that something else generally turns out to be, and why it bothers to speak at all.

That leaves one question this study has been building toward from its very first page: if death is genuinely a sleep, and resurrection its genuinely appointed waking — what does it actually take to be certain which resurrection is yours? That is where we turn next.

---

## Chapter 6: Everlasting Life as a Gift

---

### The Good News

Every chapter so far has been, in one way or another, a corrective — clearing away a misreading, sorting out a confused word, testing a familiar verse against the fuller weight of scripture. That work matters, but it is not the point of this study. The point is what is left standing once the confusion is cleared: a genuine, certain, scripturally grounded hope, available to anyone who wants it. This chapter is where that hope gets named directly, and where this study keeps the promise it made at the very start — to be, in the end, good news.

### Everlasting Life Is a Gift, Not a Natural Possession

Go back to the introduction's brief sketch of church history for a moment, because one thread in it is worth pulling forward now. Much of the earliest confusion about the state of the dead — the Alexandrian purgation strand, the assumption that every soul simply continues on somewhere, conscious, the instant the body dies — did not originate in scripture at all. It has older roots, in Greek philosophy, particularly in Plato's teaching that the soul is inherently, naturally immortal — a divine spark that merely sheds its bodily shell at death and carries on unchanged, because that is simply what a soul *is*. That idea seeped into early Christian thought long before most of the New Testament's own readers ever stopped to ask whether scripture actually teaches it.

It does not. In fact, scripture states the opposite as plainly as it is possible to state anything:

**1 Timothy 6:15** ...the blessed and only Potentate, the King of kings, and Lord of lords; 6:16  
Who only hath immortality, dwelling in the light which no man can approach unto...

"Who only hath immortality." Not "who has it most fully" or "who has it in the highest degree" — *only*. God alone possesses immortality as an inherent, natural attribute of who He is. No human soul is described anywhere in scripture as carrying that same built-in, self-sustaining deathlessness. This is exactly what Chapter 1 already showed from Genesis: man was formed of dust, made a living soul by borrowed breath, created in God's spiritual image — but never once described as possessing life independently of that breath continuing. And it is exactly why the tree of life mattered so much in Eden, and why access to it was the first thing barred once sin entered:

**Genesis 3:22** ...lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever...

If Adam's continued life required ongoing access to something outside himself — a tree God could plant and God could guard — then unending life was never Adam's by nature. It was available, contingent, and, after Genesis 3, withdrawn. Paul states the same principle in more direct doctrinal terms, describing eternal life not as a possession every soul already carries but as something to be sought, and something that arrives by an entirely different means than natural inheritance:

**Romans 2:7** To them who by patient continuance in well doing seek for glory and honour and immortality, eternal life:

"Seek for... immortality." Not already possessed; sought. And the two possible outcomes of that search could not be stated more starkly:

**Romans 6:23** For the wages of sin is death; but the gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.

*Wages*, on one side — what is earned, what is owed, the natural outcome of sin already traced back to Adam in Chapter 1. *Gift*, on the other — not earned, not owed, not natural, but given, and given specifically "through Jesus Christ our Lord." Paul makes the same contrast even more explicit writing to Timothy, naming exactly where this gift came from and how it became available:

**2 Timothy 1:10** ...our Saviour Jesus Christ, who hath abolished death, and hath brought life and immortality to light through the gospel:

"Brought... to light" — not something every soul already secretly possessed, waiting to be discovered, but something disclosed, made available, "through the gospel" specifically. John writes the same truth in its simplest possible form, and it is worth reading slowly, because every word is doing real work:

**1 John 5:11** And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son. 5:12 He that hath the Son of God hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life.

*Hath* and *hath not*. Not "everyone has life, differing only in where they spend it," but a real, binary condition: life is in the Son, given by God, present in the person who has the Son and genuinely absent in the person who does not. This is the single biggest correction this whole study has been building toward underneath everything else: the dead are not automatically, naturally immortal beings who simply relocate at death, some to comfort and some to torment, by virtue of being human souls.

Immortality itself — everlasting, conscious, resurrection life — is a gift, offered through Christ, to be received or not received. Which raises the next, most practical question this study can ask.

## How to Receive This Gift

If eternal life is a gift rather than a natural possession, scripture is not vague about how it is received. Paul states it as plainly and as briefly as anything in this entire study:

**Romans 10:9** *That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved. 10:10 For with the heart man believeth unto righteousness; and with the mouth confession is made unto salvation.*

Two things, named plainly: believing in the heart — specifically, believing that God raised Jesus from the dead, the very resurrection this entire study has been building its case around from the introduction onward — and confessing that belief with the mouth. Not a lifetime of earned merit, not a ritual performed correctly, not membership in the right tradition. Belief and confession. Paul says the same thing to the Ephesians in terms that make the "gift, not wages" language of the last section impossible to miss:

**Ephesians 2:8** *For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: 2:9 Not of works, lest any man should boast.*

"Not of yourselves... not of works." The gift cannot be earned precisely because it is a gift; earning it would make it wages again, the very category Romans 6:23 already set eternal life apart from. This is also exactly what happened, in narrative form, back in the account this study already used in the last chapter — the Philippian jailer, badly shaken after the earthquake that freed Paul and Silas from prison, asking the most urgent question a person can ask:

**Acts 16:30** *...Sirs, what must I do to be saved? 16:31 And they said, Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved...*

The answer given on the spot, to a man with no time for religious preparation, is the same answer Romans 10:9-10 gives in more developed form: believe on the Lord Jesus Christ. John states the same reception in terms of relationship rather than transaction — not a technical requirement met, but an identity received:

**John 1:12** *But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name:*

That is the whole of it. Not complicated, not hidden, not reserved for the specially qualified — received the same way anything freely offered is received: by reaching out and taking hold of it.

## Living with This Hope

None of what this study has covered is meant to be read as a purely academic settling of a doctrinal dispute. It is meant to change how a person actually carries grief — which is exactly where this study began, at a graveside, with someone who could not imagine going on without the person they had just lost. Paul, writing to a church that had lost people it loved, addresses that grief directly, and it is worth reading his words now with everything else in this study already in place behind them:

**1 Thessalonians 4:13** *But I would not have you to be ignorant, brethren, concerning them which are asleep, that ye sorrow not, even as others which have no hope. 4:14 For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus will God bring with him. 4:15 For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent [precede] them which are asleep. 4:16 For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first: 4:17 Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord. 4:18 Wherefore comfort one another with these words.*

Notice, one more time, what Paul does not say. He does not say "don't grieve" — he says "sorrow not, even as others which have no hope." The difference is not the presence or absence of tears; it is what those tears are attached to. Grief without hope has nothing on the other side of it. Grief with this hope is grief attached to a certainty: the sleep ends, the waking comes, and — as Chapter 3's earlier section on where the saints will actually dwell already traced out in full — that waking leads somewhere real and physical, a kingdom on this same earth, reigning with Christ, reunited with everyone else who is "in Christ" when that trumpet sounds. That is not a vague comfort. It is a specific one, built verse by verse across this entire study, and Paul's own instruction is to use it exactly this way — "comfort one another with these words," not privately held, but spoken out loud to the people sitting next to you in the same grief.

Paul describes God himself, elsewhere, in exactly these terms:

**2 Corinthians 1:3** *Blessed be God, even the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of mercies, and the God of all comfort; 1:4 Who comforteth us in all our tribulation, that we may be able to comfort them which are in trouble, by the comfort wherewith we ourselves are comforted of God.*

And Jesus himself, standing at another grave — Lazarus's, before he had called him back out of it — made the same claim about himself that this entire study has been unpacking from every angle:

**John 11:25** *...I am the resurrection, and the life: he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live: 11:26 And whosoever liveth and believeth in me shall never die. Believest thou this?*

That last line is not rhetorical. It is a real question, asked of Martha in the moment, and it is the same question this entire study has quietly been putting to its reader from the introduction onward. And when John is finally shown what that resurrection life actually looks like, once fully arrived — the same renewed earth already described at the close of the last chapter's earth-dwelling section — grief itself is named as something that does not survive the transition:

**Revelation 21:4** *And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be no more death, neither sorrow, nor crying, neither shall there be any more pain: for the former things are passed away.*

Not tears ignored, not grief shamed into silence — tears wiped away, by God's own hand, because the thing that caused them will finally, completely, be gone. That is the hope this study has been building toward from its very first page, and it is available now, in the middle of whatever grief brought you here, not only after the resurrection itself.

## Using This to Witness

Back at the very start of this study, a promise was made: that once this subject is settled from God's own word, a believer is equipped to do something real with it — to sit with a grieving coworker and actually know what to say, to answer a child honestly, to speak with a neighbor who has given up on the question as unanswerable. This chapter is where that promise gets cashed in.

Using this well does not mean walking into someone else's grief with a stack of proof-texts and a determination to win an argument. Nothing in this study was built for that, and nothing about the person who taught it that way — walking the same road, offering directions to a fellow traveler rather than lecturing from a safe distance — supports using it that way now. What it does mean is having, quietly available, an actual answer instead of a borrowed phrase never checked against the text: that death, for everyone, is a real but temporary sleep, not annihilation and not a conscious departure elsewhere; that a real, bodily resurrection is coming, at an appointed time, for everyone who has ever died; that for those who belong to Christ, that waking leads to reigning with Him, on a renewed earth, with tears wiped away for good; and that this is not reserved for a spiritually elite few but is a gift, offered freely, received simply by believing in the heart and confessing with the mouth that God raised Jesus from the dead.

That is a real answer, not a comforting guess, and it can be offered exactly the way this study itself was offered — gently, patiently, one traveler to another, with room left for the other person's own grief, their own questions, their own timing. Some conversations will only ever get as far as "I don't know, and I'm not sure anyone does," the same honest uncertainty this study named respectfully back in the introduction — and that is not a failure. The seed of a true answer, once planted honestly and without pressure, does not need to finish its work in a single conversation.

What began at a graveside can end, then, exactly where it should: not with an argument won, but with a hope worth passing on — the same hope Paul told the Thessalonians to comfort one another with, the same hope Jesus asked Martha whether she believed, now yours to carry, and yours to give away.

---

Copyright 2026

Distribution of this study as is in its entirety is permitted & encouraged.

papertiq@bellsouth.net Research Assistant: Claude Cowork

---

## Sources Consulted

---

Victor Paul Wierwille's *Are the Dead Alive Now?* (American Christian Press, 1971) was consulted for background orientation on the range of scriptures this subject typically raises; it is cited here as a source only and is not quoted, summarized, or annotated in the body of this study. Historical background on the intermediate state, purgatory's development, and the Reformation-era dispute draws on the Catholic Encyclopedia, the St Andrews Encyclopaedia of Theology, and published summaries of Luther's 1526 Ecclesiastes lectures and Calvin's 1534 treatise against soul sleep (*Psychopannychia*). Current belief statistics are drawn from Pew Research Center's religion surveys (2021–2025). Historical background on

the Spiritualist movement draws on the Encyclopaedia Britannica and standard historical accounts of the 1848 Fox sisters episode. World-religion summaries reflect standard comparative-religion overviews of Islamic, Jewish, Hindu, and Buddhist teaching on death and the afterlife. E. W. Bullinger's *Companion Bible* notes on Philippians 3:11 were consulted directly and are cited by name in Chapter 3, as a legitimate, checkable external scholarly source. The correction of the popular "burning garbage dump" account of first-century Gehenna, in Chapter 3, draws on historical and archaeological research published by BiblePlaces.com, which traces that popular claim to Rabbi David Kimchi's writing of around A.D. 1200 and notes the absence of any earlier source for it. Background on the textual and historical questions surrounding Matthew 27:52–53, discussed in the closing note held in abeyance below, draws on published discussion from Spirit & Truth Fellowship International. None of these sources carries scriptural weight in this study; each is background and corroboration only. Every doctrinal claim in this study has been tested directly against the text of scripture itself, in the King James Version.

---

## A Passage Held in Abeyance: Matthew 27:51–53

---

Every other verse this study has taken up — including several genuinely hard ones — has been resolved somewhere in the chapters above: read in its own context, checked against its own grammar, and squared with the far larger body of clear, repeated scriptural testimony this study rests on. One passage, on closer examination, does not yet fit that pattern, and rather than force a reading onto it, this study is setting it aside here, openly, instead of inside the main argument.

**Matthew 27:51** *And, behold, the veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom; and the earth did quake, and the rocks rent; Matthew 27:52 And the graves were opened; and many bodies of the saints which slept arose, Matthew 27:53 And came out of the graves after his resurrection, and went into the holy city, and appeared unto many.*

Read on its own, this passage appears to describe a group of Old Testament believers bodily raised from death at the time of Christ's own resurrection — not merely "translated" the way Enoch and Elijah were (Chapter 4), but actually raised, the very thing this study has defined resurrection to be. That creates a real problem this study is not willing to paper over: every other passage examined here places the raising of the righteous dead at one specific, future, appointed point — Christ's own coming for His own, or His public appearing afterward (Chapter 3, "Different Positions, Different Resurrections" and "The Two Resurrections") — never earlier, and never in isolated, scattered instances centuries ahead of that appointed moment. "Every man in his own order: Christ the firstfruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming" (1 Corinthians 15:23) describes a fixed sequence, not a general pattern with room for an early, unscheduled exception. If Matthew 27:52–53 is read as a plain, literal report of an actual resurrection unto life, it stands outside that sequence entirely, and this study has not been able to reconcile it with the resurrection order built carefully across the rest of this work.

This study's own working judgment is that the difficulty most likely lies in something not fully visible from the English text alone — a translation question, a detail of the historical record, or context this study does not yet have full access to — rather than in the resurrection-order teaching itself, which rests on a wide, repeated, mutually consistent body of scripture elsewhere. But that is a judgment, not a demonstrated conclusion, and this study is not going to claim more certainty here than it actually has. So this passage is held in abeyance: named, quoted in full, and left open, rather than either forced into the main argument or quietly dropped as though it did not exist.

# Scripture Index

---

## Genesis

Genesis 1:26-27 Chapter 1A  
Genesis 2:7 Chapter 1A  
Genesis 2:16-17 Chapter 1B  
Genesis 3:15, 19, 22-24 Chapter 1B  
Genesis 3:22 Chapter 6B  
Genesis 5:5 Chapter 1B  
Genesis 5:24 Chapter 4D  
Genesis 23:4, 9 Chapter 2A  
Genesis 37:35 Chapter 2A  
Genesis 50:5 Chapter 2A

## Leviticus

Leviticus 19:31 Chapter 5B  
Leviticus 20:27 Chapter 5B

## Numbers

Numbers 16:30, 33 Chapter 2A

## Deuteronomy

Deuteronomy 18:10, 12 Chapter 5B  
Deuteronomy 34:5-6 Chapter 4D

## 1 Samuel

1 Samuel 2:6 Chapter 2A  
1 Samuel 16:13-14 Chapter 1C  
1 Samuel 28:6-7, 11-12, 15 Chapter 4F

## 2 Samuel

2 Samuel 3:32 Chapter 2A

## 1 Kings

1 Kings 2:10 Chapter 2C

## 2 Kings

2 Kings 2:11 Chapter 4D  
2 Kings 23:10 Chapter 3F

## Job

Job 14:10, 12, 21 Chapter 2B  
Job 14:13 Chapter 2A

## Psalms

Psalms 6:5 Chapter 2B  
Psalms 16:10 Chapter 2A  
Psalms 51:11 Chapter 1C

Psalms 115:17 Chapter 2B  
Psalms 139:8 Chapter 2A  
Psalms 146:4 Chapter 2B

## Ecclesiastes

Ecclesiastes 9:5-6, 10 Chapter 2B

## Isaiah

Isaiah 8:19-20 Chapter 5B  
Isaiah 53:9 Chapter 2A

## Jeremiah

Jeremiah 7:31 Chapter 3F  
Jeremiah 19:5 Chapter 3F

## Daniel

Daniel 12:2 Chapter 2C

## Jonah

Jonah 2:2 Chapter 2A

## Zechariah

Zechariah 14:4 Chapter 3E

## Matthew

Matthew 5:22 Chapter 3F  
Matthew 10:28 Chapter 3F  
Matthew 11:23 Chapter 2A  
Matthew 16:18 Chapter 2A  
Matthew 17:1-3, 9 Chapter 4D  
Matthew 22:23, 28-29, 31-32 Chapter 4F  
Matthew 27:51-53 Held in Abeyance  
Matthew 27:60 Chapter 2A

## Mark

Mark 9:43 Chapter 3F

## Luke

Luke 8:31 Chapter 3F  
Luke 16:19-20, 22-23, 31 Chapter 4E  
Luke 23:42-43 Chapter 4E

## John

John 1:12 Chapter 6C  
John 1:18 Chapter 1A  
John 4:24 Chapter 1A  
John 5:28-29 Chapter 2A; Chapter 3D  
John 11:11-14 Chapter 2B  
John 11:25-26 Chapter 6D

**John 11:38** Chapter 2A  
**John 14:16-17** Chapter 1C

## Acts

**Acts 1:11** Chapter 3E  
**Acts 2:27, 31** Chapter 2A  
**Acts 7:59-60** Chapter 2C  
**Acts 16:16-18** Chapter 5C  
**Acts 16:30-31** Chapter 6C  
**Acts 24:15** Chapter 3D

## Romans

**Romans 2:7** Chapter 6B  
**Romans 5:12** Chapter 1B  
**Romans 6:23** Chapter 1B; Chapter 6B  
**Romans 10:9-10** Chapter 6C

## 1 Corinthians

**1 Corinthians 2:14** Chapter 1B  
**1 Corinthians 15:6, 18, 20** Chapter 2C  
**1 Corinthians 15:16-24** Introduction E  
**1 Corinthians 15:20, 23** Chapter 3B  
**1 Corinthians 15:51-52** Chapter 3C  
**1 Corinthians 15:55** Chapter 2A

## 2 Corinthians

**2 Corinthians 1:3-4** Chapter 6D  
**2 Corinthians 5:1-4, 6, 8** Chapter 4C  
**2 Corinthians 11:14** Chapter 5C

## Ephesians

**Ephesians 1:13-14** Chapter 1C  
**Ephesians 2:1** Chapter 1B  
**Ephesians 2:8-9** Chapter 6C  
**Ephesians 4:18** Chapter 1B

## Philippians

**Philippians 1:21-24** Chapter 4B  
**Philippians 3:11** Chapter 3A

## Colossians

**Colossians 3:4** Chapter 3E

## 1 Thessalonians

**1 Thessalonians 4:13-15** Chapter 2C  
**1 Thessalonians 4:13-18** Chapter 6D  
**1 Thessalonians 4:16-17** Chapter 3C

**1 Thessalonians 4:17** Chapter 3E  
**1 Thessalonians 5:23** Chapter 1A

## 2 Thessalonians

**2 Thessalonians 1:7-8** Chapter 3C  
**2 Thessalonians 2:9-10** Chapter 5C

## 1 Timothy

**1 Timothy 4:1** Chapter 5C  
**1 Timothy 6:15-16** Chapter 6B

## 2 Timothy

**2 Timothy 1:10** Chapter 6B

## Hebrews

**Hebrews 11:5, 13** Chapter 4D

## James

**James 3:6** Chapter 3F

## 2 Peter

**2 Peter 2:4** Chapter 3F

## 1 John

**1 John 4:1** Chapter 5D  
**1 John 5:11-12** Chapter 6B

## Jude

**Jude 1:14** Chapter 3E  
**Jude 1:19** Chapter 1B

## Revelation

**Revelation 1:18** Chapter 2A  
**Revelation 5:10** Chapter 3E  
**Revelation 9:1, 11** Chapter 3F  
**Revelation 19:11, 14** Chapter 3E  
**Revelation 20:1, 3, 10, 14-15** Chapter 3F  
**Revelation 20:4** Chapter 3A; Chapter 3E  
**Revelation 20:5, 11-13** Chapter 3D  
**Revelation 20:13-14** Chapter 2A  
**Revelation 21:1-3** Chapter 3E  
**Revelation 21:4** Chapter 6D  
**Revelation 21:8** Chapter 3F